



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 17511 OF 2025 (LR)

BETWEEN:

M S MUNIRAMA REDDY
S/O SRI. M. SANJEEV REDDY,
SINCE DECEASED BY LR'S

1. SMT. CHENNAMMA
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
W/O LATE MUNIRAM REDDY
2. SMT. PRABHAVATHI
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
W/O NARSIMHA MURTY,
D/O MUNIRAM REDDY
3. DEVENDRA PRASAD M
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,
S/O MUNIRAM REDDY
4. DEVENDRA KUMAR M
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,
5. MANJULA M
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS,
W/O LATE MURALIDHAR
6. S M NARASIMHA RAJU
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
S/O MUNIRAM REDDY





7. HARISH M
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
S/O MUNIRAM REDDY

R/A, MEENUKUNTE HALLI GRAMA,
NAGALAMADIKE HOBLI-572 136,
PAVAGADA TALUK,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. VARDHAMAN V. GUNJAL, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS
REVENUE SECRETARY,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BANGALORE-560 001.
2. THE LAND TRIBUNAL
PAVAGADA TALUK-561 202,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
PAVAGADA, DISTRICT TUMKUR.
3. LINGAMMA
SINCE DECEASED
REPRESENTED BY LRS

a) SHIVAKUMAR
S/O SANNA BOMMAIAH
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS

b) VIJAYKUMAR
S/O SANNA BOMMAIAH,

BOTH R/A, MENUKUNTANAHALLI,
TALUK PAVAGADA-572 136,
DISTRICT TUMKUR.



SINGA REDDY SINCE DECEASED

4. GANGADHAR
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
S/O SINGA REDDY,
R/A, MENUKUNTANAHALLI,
TALUK PAVAGADA-572 136,
DISTRICT TUMKUR.
5. HANUMAKKA
W/O, MADHAVAREDDY,
R/A, BEPPUR VILLAGE,
PAVAGADA TALUK-572 136,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.
6. LAXMI DEVAMMA
SINCE DECEASED
REPRESENTED BY LR
MUSALA REDDY
R/A, KADERU VILLAGE,
ANANTHAPUR TALUK-515 591,
ANDHRA PRADESH.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. K.P. YOGANNA, AGA FOR R1 & R2)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASHING THE IMPUGNED ORDER ANNEXURE-A IN LRF NO.47/1974-75 DATED 28/09/2002 PASSED BY THE LAND TRIBUNAL PAVAGADA 2ND RESPONDENT HEREIN AND ALSO CONSEQUENTIAL REVENUE ENTRIES AS ILLEGAL AND VOID.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

On 11.02.2026 the following order was passed:

"Finally, two weeks time is granted to the petitioners for compliance of office objections, failing which, the writ petition shall be listed on 25.02.2026 for dismissal."

2. Office objections have not been complied with.
3. Consequently, the writ petition stands ***dismissed for non-compliance of office objections.***

**Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE**

HB
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 7