



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 17216 OF 2024 (EDN-REG)

BETWEEN:

1. MADRASA E HAZRATH AYESHA TRUST
(EDUCATION TRUST,)
KGN ENGLISH HIGH SCHOOL,
NO. 43/2, 4TH 'D' CROSS,
1ST MAIN, SHAMPURA MAIN ROAD,
BEHIND DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR MEDICAL COLLEGE,
K G HALLI,
BENGALURU - 560045.
REGD. UNDER GOVERNING COUNCIL
KARNATAKA EDUCATION ACT, 1983.

BY ITS PRESIDENT,
MR. RAFIQ AHMED,
S/O JANAB ABDUL REHMAN,
AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SARAVANA S., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPT BY PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
PRIMARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION,
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,
M S BUILDING
DR B R AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,





BENGALURU - 560001.

2. THE COMMISSIONER
OFFICE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF,
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
NRUPTHUNGA ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560001.
3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTORA FOR
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
BANGALORE URBAN NORTH
BENGALURU - 560001.
4. BLOCK DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION OFFICER
YELAHANKA,
BANGALORE NORTH TALUK,
BENGALURU - 560064.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. LALITHA P.M., HCGP)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS TO RECEIVE/ACCEPT THE APPLICATIONS FOR RENEWAL OF RECOGNITION, IN CONTINUATION OF RECOGNITION GRANTED TO PETITIONER DATED 06.06.2018, AS PER ANNEXURE-C, THROUGH OFFLINE BY RECEIVING HARD COPIES AND IN FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF THE REPRESENTATION OF THE PETITIONER TO RENEW THE RECOGNITION CONDITIONALLY SUBJECT TO PRODUCTION OF MODIFIED BUILDING PLAN BY GRANTING 6 MONTHS TIME IN THE ENDS OF JUSTICE.



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for the respondents.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties.

3. In this writ petition, the petitioner has sought a direction to the respondents to accept the application for renewal of recognition.

4. Having taken note of the averments made in the writ petition, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner may be permitted to submit one more representation to the competent authority seeking renewal of recognition. If such an application is filed by the petitioner, the same shall be considered by the



respondent-authorities in accordance with law.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands ***disposed of***.

It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

**SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE**

SB
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 17