



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 8TH DAY OF JUNE, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH

CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO. 796 OF 2024

(397(Cr.PC) / 438(BNSS)-)

BETWEEN:

1. RAVI KUMAR P H
S/O HULISIDDE GOWDA
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS
R/AT NO 546,4TH CROSS
LIG 707,YELAHANKA NEW TOWN
BENGALURU-560064.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SHARAN B.T.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. KRISHNA KUMAR M
S/O G H HUCHAIAH
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS
RA/T NO 103/C,TYPE 1,
RWF WEST COLONY,YELAHANKA TOWN
BENGALURU -560064.

RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. SHRINIWAS M KULKARNI,ADVOCATE)

THIS CRL.RP IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 CR.P.C BY THE ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER PRAYING THAT THIS HONBLE COURT MAY BE PLEASED TO SET ASIDE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 29.09.2020 IN C.C.NO.1480 OF 2019 PASSED BY THE HONBLE XVIII ADDL. CHIEF MM AT BENGALURU AND TO SET ASIDE THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT





AND ORDER DATED 24.04.2024 IN CRL.A.NO.176/2021 PASSED BY THE LXII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE (CCH-63) AT BENGALURU BY ACQUITTING THE PETITIONER (ANNEXURE-B AND ANNEXURE-A) RESPECTIVELY.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH

ORAL ORDER

This Court vide order dated 22.07.2024 suspended the sentence subject to revision petitioner depositing 50% of the fine amount inclusive of the fine amount already in deposit within a period of four weeks from today and thereafter, the revision petitioner has not complied the said order and ultimately, on 07.11.2024, reporting of settlement is failed and interim order was also not complied and this Court has given the liberty to execute the order of compliance and the revision petitioner once gain very similar order was passed on 03.12.2024. This Court issued non-bailable warrant vide order dated 22.04.2026. The same was not executed and hence fresh non-bailable warrant was issued through Commissioner of



Police, Bengaluru and date is fixed returnable by 10.06.2026.

2. Today, the accused is produced before the Court by Sri. Karibasappa PSI, Sri.Gangadhar PC No.20583 and Sri. Vittal Nayak PC No.21101 and warrant is executed.

3. Learned counsel for the revision petitioner files an application under Section 70(2) of Cr.P.C. and the said application become infructuous in view of warrant already been executed.

4. Having considered that the order was passed in the year 2024 itself and for nearly about for a period of two years, not complied with the same inspite of such an opportunity is given and ultimately warrant was issued and the same is executed. When such being the case, the concerned police is directed to produce the accused before the trial Court and if the accused is produced before the trial Court, the trial Court is directed to send him to prison



by issuing the conviction warrant in view of non-compliance of the order of this Court i.e. after a period of two years and question of continuing the revision petition does not arise since the petitioner has not obeyed the order passed by this Court and even not having any respect to the order of this Court. Hence, the question of considering the revision petition does not arise and revision petition is also not yet admitted. Accordingly, revision petition stands ***dismissed***.

**Sd/-
(H.P.SANDESH)
JUDGE**

SSD
List No.: 3 SI No.: 2