



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 15476 OF 2024 (L-KSRTC)

BETWEEN:

M G GANGADHARA
S/O LATE M J GANGADHARAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS,
WORKING AS OFFICE ASSISTANT,
(ALTERNATIVE POST),
BADGE NO 4600 DEPOT-1,
BENGALURU CENTRAL DIVISION,
KSRTC, BENGALURU.
R/AT NO 963, JNANABHARATHI,
2ND STAGE, BDA LAYOUT,
20TH MAIN ROAD,
NAGADEVANAHALLI,
MARIYAPPANA PALYA,
BENGALURU - 560 056.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI N.R.NAIK, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. KARNATAKA STATE ROAD
TRANSPORT CORPORATION
BENGALURU,
REPRESENTED BY ITS
MANAGING DIRECTOR.
2. THE DIVISIONAL CONTROLLER
BENGALURU CENTRAL DIVISION,
KSRTC, BENGALURU.
3. THE DEPOT MANAGER
BENGALURU CENTRAL DIVISION,
KSRTC, BENGALURU





...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. RENUKA H R., ADVOCATE)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER BEARING NO.KA.RA.SA.BEM.KE.VI/SIBBANDI/NIRVAHAKA/Y.KA/2297/23-24 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.1 DATED 01.12.2023 SHOWN AS ANNEXURE-K AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION IS COMING ON FOR *HEARING ON I.A.*, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE

ORAL ORDER

This petition is filed to quash the order dated 01.12.2023 marked at Annexure-K and also the order dated 22.05.2024 marked at Annexure-M.

2. In terms of order at Annexure-K, the respondent-Corporation has requested the jurisdictional Medical Board to examine the petitioner and to give report on 10 points specified in the said letter at Annexure-K.

3. In terms of Annexure-M, which is addressed to the petitioner, the respondent-Corporation has directed the petitioner to report to duty. This order is passed by taking into consideration the Disability Certificate. The petitioner is before this Court assailing the said two orders.



4. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the petitioner has not reported to duty since January, 2026.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner had approached the Medical Board for medical examination, the Medical Board has refused to examine the petitioner and assess the disability, on the premise that there is no such order or direction by the employer.

6. The Court has considered the contentions raised at the Bar and perused the records.

7. It is submitted that the Disability Certificate earlier issued to the petitioner was valid for two years from 05.02.2023. It is stated that the said certificate was valid for two years.

8. This being the position, the Court is of the view that, the petitioner has to subject himself for medical examination. After the Medical Board examines the petitioner and assess his alleged disability, appropriate orders and directions by the employer would follow.

9. Hence, the following:



ORDER

- (i) Writ petition is ***allowed in part;***
- (ii) The petitioner shall approach the respondent and collect the order for medical examination by the respondent-employer; and
- (iii) The employer shall issue a letter to the petitioner to subject the petitioner for medical examination.
- (iv) The petitioner shall subject himself for medical examination before the jurisdictional Medical Board;
- (v) After receipt of report, the respondent-employer shall take appropriate decision as advised in law;

**Sd/-
(ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE)
JUDGE**