



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 555 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

N V KRISHNA
S/O LATE. VEERANNA,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NAGAVALLI VILLAGE,
NAGAVALLI POST,
1ST BLOCK HEBBUR,
TUMAKURU TALUK,
TUMAKURU DISTRICT - 572120.

...COMPLAINANT

(BY SRI. KISHAN G S, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. RAJESHWARI
TAHASHILDAR
TUMAKURU TALUK
OFFICE OF TAHASHILDAR,
MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA,
TUMAKURU DISTRICT - 572101
2. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
R/ BY ITS REVENUE SECRETARY
REVENUE DEPARTMENT





VIDHANA SOUDHA
BENGALURU - 01

...ACCUSED

(BY SMT. NAMITHA MAHESH B G, AGA)

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTIONS 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT, 1971, BY THE COMPLAINANT, PRAYING TO HOLD THAT THE ACCUSED HEREIN IS GUILTY OF GROSS CONTEMPT OF THE ORDER OF THIS HONBLE COURT DATED 21.06.2024 PASSED IN WP NO.13279/2024 (KLR-RR/SUR) AND FURTHER BE PLEASED TO ISSUE APPROPRIATE DIRECTION AND PUNISH THE RESPONDENT.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE)

1. The complainant has filed the present complaint alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 21.06.2024 passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P. No.13279/2024 [KLR-RR/SUR]. In terms of the said order, the accused was directed to consider complainant's representation dated 17.01.2024 within an outer limit of four months from the date of receipt of the order.



2. The accused has filed a statement of compliance enclosing therewith an endorsement dated 29.11.2025 which indicates that a case has been registered before the Assistant Commissioner and that further action in accordance with the Rules would be taken on the basis of the final order passed by the Assistant Commissioner.

3. In view of the above, we do not consider it apposite to initiate any proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. However, the Assistant Commissioner is directed to conclude the case as expeditiously as possible, in any event, within a period of eight weeks from today. In the event, the said proceedings are not concluded, the complainant is at liberty to file a fresh complaint.

Sd/-
(VIBHU BAKHRU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
(C.M. POONACHA)
JUDGE