



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NAGAPRASANNA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 6921 OF 2025 (482(Cr.PC) /
528(BNSS)

BETWEEN:

1. MRS RASHEEDA
W/O LATE SIRAJ UL HAQ,
AGED ABOUT 80 YEARS,
2. MRS. NIKHATH FATHIMA,
W/O MOHSIN,
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO. 25/1
ANKANPPA BLOCK,
J C NAGAR,
BANGALORE - 560 006.
3. MR. MOHAMMED AKRAM,
S/O LATE SIRAJ UL HAQ,
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
PETITIONERS NOS.1 AND 3 ARE
RESIDING AT NO. 15/1
COLONEL HILL ROAD,





SHIVAJINAGAR
BANGALORE - 560 051.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. SHAHNAWAZ M. MAMADAPUR, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY J.P. NAGAR POLICE STATION
BANGALORE - 560 078.
2. SMT. MAHJABEEN
W/O MOHAMMED AZAM
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
RESIDING AT NO. 111, 20TH CROSS
K.R. LAYOUT, J.P. NAGAR
BANGALORE - 560 078.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VINAY MAHADEVAIAH, HCGP FOR R1)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S.482(FILED U/S.528 BNSS) OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO QUASH THE PROCEEDINGS REGISTERED IN C.C.NO.10667/2016 ON THE FILE OF THE HONBLE IV ACMM BENGALURU FOR THE OFFENCE P/US/ 498-A, 406, 420 OF IPC AND SEC.3, 4 OF DP ACT (ANNEXURE D).

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NAGAPRASANNA**

ORAL ORDER

The petitioner is before the Court calling in question the proceedings in C.C.No.10667/2016 for the offence punishable under Sections 498A, 406, 417, 120(B) read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('the IPC' for short).

2. Heard Sri. Shahnawaz M. Mamadapur, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and Sri. Vinay Mahadevaiah, learned High Court Government Pleader appearing for respondent No.1.

3. The learned High Court Government Pleader on instructions and verification of the records would submit that the charges are framed even before filing of the present petition and the trial is in progress. In that light, the petition would not become entertainable.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would project the advancing age of petitioner No.1, who is now 80 years old, would not be in a position to attend the



proceedings. Therefore, I deem it appropriate to exempt accused No.2, who is now 80 years old, the mother-in-law from appearing before the concerned Court except on a specific orders passed by the Court, in the event of need.

5. Since the issue is of 2016 and close to 10 years have passed by, I deem it appropriate to infuse finality into the proceedings with a direction to the concerned Court to dispose the proceedings, within an outer limit of six months from the date of receipt of the copy of the order, if not earlier.

6. With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands ***disposed.***

Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(M.NAGAPRASANNA)
JUDGE

JY
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 6