



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 27<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF MARCH, 2026**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 16089 OF 2025 (GM-AC)**

**BETWEEN:**

IFFCO TOKIO GIC LTD  
CUSTOMER SERVICE CENTER,  
SRI. SHANTHI TOWERS, 5TH FLOOR,  
NO.141, 3RD MAIN, EAST OF NGEF LAYOUT,  
KASTURI NAGAR,  
BANGALORE 560 043.  
REP. BY ITS LEGAL MANAGER.  
COMPANY IS REGISTERED  
UNDER COMPANY ACT 1956.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B. PRADEEP., ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

1. VARADARAJU  
S/O. SIDDAPPA,  
AGED ABOUT 28 YEARS.  
BAPPANADU, MADALURU POST,  
SIRA TALUK,  
TUMKUR-572137.
2. R.PANDURANAGAYYA,  
S/O. RANGAPPA,  
MAJOR, RAGALAHALLI AT POST,  
SIRA TALUK, TUMKURU-572113.

...RESPONDENTS

(R-1 AND R2 SERVED)





THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER AS PER ANNEXURE-F DATED 24-02-2025 PASSED BY THE COURT OF THE XIX ADDL. SMALL CAUSES JUDGE AND ACJM, BENGALURU FILED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT UNDER SECTION 5 OF LIMITATION ACT AND APPLICATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER UNDER ORDER 7 RULE 11 OF CPC READ WITH SECTION 166 (3) (AMENDMENT ACT 2019) IN MVC NO. 6364/2023 AND ALLOW THE APPLICATION FILED BY THE 1<sup>ST</sup> RESPONDENT AS PER ANNEXURE-B AND HOLD THAT CLAIM PETITION AS PER ANNEXURE-A IS NOT MAINTAINABLE AND ETC.

THIS WRIT PETITION, COMING ON FOR FURTHER HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

**ORAL ORDER**

1. In the above petition, the short question that arises for consideration is whether a claim petition filed under sub-section (3) of Section 166 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 would be maintainable if instituted beyond a period of six months from the date of the accident.
2. It is not in dispute that the said issue is presently under consideration before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP Nos. 8412-8413/2023. By way of an interim order, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed that the pendency of the Special Leave Petitions shall not preclude the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal from proceeding with the adjudication of claim petitions; however, it has been expressly



stipulated that final judgments shall not be pronounced.

3. In view of the aforesaid development, and having regard to similar interim orders passed by this Court in connected matters, it is submitted that this petition may be disposed of with appropriate directions to the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal to proceed with the matters, subject to the limitation imposed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.
4. Having considered the submissions and the interim directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with the following directions:
  - 4.1. The Motor Accident Claims Tribunal shall be at liberty to proceed with the adjudication of the claim petitions in all respects, including recording of evidence and hearing of arguments;
  - 4.2. However, the Tribunal shall not pronounce or draw up the final award in the said proceedings until further orders are passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP Nos. 8412-8413/2023.



5. It is further clarified that the ultimate outcome of the proceedings before the Tribunal shall abide by the decision to be rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid Special Leave Petitions.
  - 5.1. In the event the Hon'ble Supreme Court holds that claim petitions filed beyond the period of six months from the date of the accident are maintainable, the Tribunal shall be at liberty to proceed to pronounce and draw up the final award in accordance with law;
  - 5.2. Conversely, if it is held that such claim petitions are not maintainable, the Tribunal shall pass appropriate orders in conformity with the law so declared.
6. With the above Observations, the Writ Petition stands **disposed**.

**Sd/-**  
**(SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)**  
**JUDGE**