



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 15783 OF 2025 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

A M VASANTHA KUMAR
S/O.LATE MARULAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS,
R/O ANNEGERE VILLAGE @ POST,
PANCHANAHALLI HOBLI, KADUR TALUK,
CHIKKAMAGALUR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. RAMESHA M S., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP BY IT SECRETARY
M.S BUILDING,
DR. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU-560 001.
2. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
TARIKERE SUB-DIVISION,
TARIKRE, CHIKKAMAGALUR-577101.
3. TAHASILDHAR,
KADUR, KADUR TQ,
CHIKKAMAGALURU-577548

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT.NAVYA SHEKHAR., AGA)





THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI QUASHING THE NOTICE BEARING ACK. NO.RD0050000662935 DATED 08/11/2024 PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-M ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.2. AND ISSUE A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS NOT TO DISPOSES THE PETITIONERS FROM THE LANDS IN QUESTION AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate takes notice for all the respondents.

2. The petitioner is before this Court seeking the following reliefs:

- " (i) Issue a writ in the nature of Certiorari quashing the Notice bearing Ack.No. RD0050000662935 dated 08/11/2024 produced at Annexure-M, issued by the respondent No.2.
- (ii) Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent not to disposes the petitioner from the lands in question.
- (iii) Issue such other appropriate writ, order or direction as this Hon'ble Court deems fit under the circumstances of the case in the interest of justice and equity."

3. It is the contention of learned Counsel for the petitioner that the grant of the land made in favour of the



petitioner's father is confirmed by the Tahsildar, despite which now, vide Annexure-M, the Assistant Commissioner has issued notice under Rule 108K of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules, 1966 (for short, "the said Rules") in order to cancel the grant made in favour of the petitioner.

4. Learned Additional Government Advocate submits that what has been impugned is only show cause notice. The petitioner is always free to place such documents in his favour as may be available, which could be considered by the respondent – Assistant Commissioner.

5. In view of the said statement, the petitioner shall file his objections and all documents that he may rely upon to counter the notice issued at Annexure-M. The Assistant Commissioner is also directed to afford personal hearing to the petitioner and thereafter, proceed to pass orders by following necessary procedure under the said Rules.

6. With the above observation, the petition stands ***disposed of***. Since the issue is relating to cancellation of grant, admittedly there is a grant which has been made in



favour of the petitioner's father, until appropriate orders are passed on the show cause notice, it would be needless to say that the petitioner's possession over the subject land cannot be disturbed.

7. Respondent – Assistant Commissioner will act on a print out of the uploaded copy of this order on the website of this Court, if so furnished by the petitioners, without waiting for certified copy thereof. If respondent-Assistant Commissioner has any doubt about the order, he may verify the contents of the order from the website of this Court and or from the learned Additional Government Advocate.

8. Learned Additional Government Advocate is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

DL
CT: JL