

WP NO. 14266/2026 (LB)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

[SRI MURUGENDRA MITHRA MANDALI VS. THE DEPUTY
COMMISSIONER AND OTHERS]

19.06.2026

(VIDEO CONFERENCING / PHYSICAL HEARING)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

Mr. Shivarama Bhat O, the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is constrained to seek this Court's intervention because the seventh respondent [*the owner of the property adjoining the petitioner's property*] is putting up construction, without any setback, in a manner endangering the petitioner's property. The learned counsel submits that this stands out as could be seen by the photographs produced as Annexure - E, and the learned counsel makes a request for an interim order directing the seventh respondent to stop the construction forthwith.

The circumstances and the request are considered. The Authorities must act to ensure that the petitioner's property is not exposed to danger because of the construction underway while the sixth respondent will have to answer on the action that is taken against construction that could be contrary to law.

In the circumstances, the fourth respondent [*the jurisdictional Tahsildhar*], is called upon to visit the petitioner's property and file a Report into this Court on the measures that must be taken by the seventh respondent to ensure that the petitioner's property is not exposed to the possibilities of a landslide or damages otherwise. It is ordered accordingly, and this Report shall be filed by the next date of hearing.

Mr. Bopanna Belliappa, a learned Additional Government Advocate, is called upon to accept notice for the first, third and fourth respondents.

There shall be notice by hand to the second, fifth, sixth and seventh respondents. These notices shall be returnable by **29.06.2026**.

**(B M SHYAM PRASAD)
JUDGE**