



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

WRIT PETITION NO. 13257 OF 2025 (LB-BMP)

BETWEEN:

VAISHNAVI SRI
REPRESENTED BY SOLE PROPRIETOR
HARISH M.R,
S/O M.S. RAMARAJU,
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
OFFICE ADDRESS NO.8/20/21, 3RD FLOOR,
CHUNCHAGHATTA MAIN ROAD,
PARALLEL TO KANAKAPURA ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 062.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SIDARTH BABU RAO, ADVOCATE FOR
SMT. DEVI SOWMYA L, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. BRUHAT BENGALURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE
CORPORATION CIRCLE,
N.R. SQUARE, BANGALORE - 560 002,
REPRESENTED BY ITS COMMISSIONER.

2. THE CHIEF HEALTH OFFICER (PUBLIC HEALTH)
BRUHATH BENGALURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE,
N.R. SQUARE, BANGALORE - 560 002.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. B.L. SANJEEV, ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2)





THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS TO CONSIDER REPRESENTATIONS DATED 20/06/2024 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER AT ANNEX-G AND H AND CONSEQUENTLY DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS TO RELEASE THE REMAINING AMOUNT OF RS. 48,53,119/- (RUPEES FORTY EIGHT LAKHS FIFTY THREE THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED NINETEEN ONLY) AS AGAINST THE FINAL TAX INVOICE NO. 307 DATED 18/07/2020 AT ANNEX-E WITH APPLICABLE CONTRACTUAL INTEREST SO AS PETITIONER IS CONCERNED.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

ORAL ORDER

1. Sri. B.L.Sanjeev, learned counsel, has appeared for respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Hence, Registry is directed to delete the name of B.S. Karthikeyan, as that appearing for respondent Nos.1 and 2.
2. Petitioner is before this Court seeking for the following reliefs:

- a) *Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondents to consider representations dated 20.06.2024 submitted by the Petitioner at ANNEXURE G & H and consequently direct the Respondents to release the remaining amount of Rs. 48,53,119/-(Rupees Forty Eight Lakhs Fifty Three Thousand One Hundred Nineteen only) as against the final tax invoice no.307 dated 18.07.2020 at*



ANNEXURE- E with applicable contractual interest so as petitioner is concerned.

b) Pass any order or direction as this Hon'ble Court deems fit under the circumstances of the present case in the interest of justice and equity.

3. The grievance of the petitioner is that the representation which has been submitted by the petitioner for payment of the monies claimed under the various invoices submitted by the petitioner has not been considered by the respondents.
4. Whenever any bills are submitted by the contractor to an employer, it is required for the employer to consider the same in terms of work order and contractual documents and pass necessary orders either by accepting or rejecting the claim made, necessarily in either case to be accompanied by reasons.
5. In the present matter, the bills have been raised in terms of Annexure-E on 18.07.2020. Thereafter, representation has been submitted on 26.02.2024 at



Annexure-G and H. Both of them have remained
unconsidered. As such, I pass the following:

ORDER

- i) Writ petition is ***allowed***.
- ii) A mandamus is issued directing the respondents to consider the invoices at Annexure-E and the representations at Annexures-G and H in terms of the work order and any contractual documents entered into between the parties and pass necessary orders within 30 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.
- iii) It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the entitlement of the petitioner.

SD/-
(SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)
JUDGE