



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

WRIT PETITION NO. 14824 OF 2025 (GM-AC)

BETWEEN:

ROYAL SUNDARAM GENERAL INSURANCE
CO.LTD.,
NO. 56/1, 2ND FLOOR,
9TH MAIN ROAD JAYANAGARA,
BENGALURU-560 041
REPRESENTED BY MANAGER- LEGAL
COMAPNY REGISTER UNDER RULE 24 OF THE COMAPNIES
RULES, 2014.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI.RAVI SHANKAR.S SAMPRATHI., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SRI. RANGANATHA.M. V
S/O. LATE. T. VENKATAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS,
RESIDING AT MADIKEHALLI VILLAGE,
KOTHGERE HOBLI,
KUNIGAL TALUK, TUMKUR DISTRICT.

2. SRI. ROHITH B.V.
S/O. VENKATESHA,
MAJOR IN AGE,
RESIDING AT BILIDEVALAYA VILLAGE,
KASABA HOBLI KUNIGAL TALUK,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENTS





THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER AS PER ANNEX-E DTD. 22.02.2025 PASSED IN MVC NO. 5618/2024 BY THE XIII ADDITIONAL JUDGE COURT OF SMALL CAUSES AND MACT, BENGALURU ON IA FILED UNDER SECTION 5 OF LIMITATION ACT AND DISMISS THE APPLICATION FILED BY THE R-1 HEREIN AS PER ANNEX-E AND HOLD THAT CLAIM PETITION IS MVC NO. 5618/2024 AS PER ANNEX-A IS NOT MAINTAINABLE AND ETC.

THIS WRIT PETITION, COMING ON FOR FURTHER HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

ORAL ORDER

1. In the above petition, the short question that arises for consideration is whether a claim petition filed under sub-section (3) of Section 166 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 would be maintainable if instituted beyond a period of six months from the date of the accident.
2. It is not in dispute that the said issue is presently under consideration before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP Nos. 8412-8413/2023. By way of an interim order, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed that the pendency of the Special Leave Petitions shall not preclude the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal from proceeding with the adjudication of claim petitions; however, it has been expressly stipulated that final judgments shall not be pronounced.



3. In view of the aforesaid development and having regard to similar interim orders passed by this Court in connected matters, it is submitted that this petition may be disposed of with appropriate directions to the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal to proceed with the matters, subject to the limitation imposed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.
4. Having considered the submissions and the interim directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with the following directions:
 - 4.1. The Motor Accident Claims Tribunal shall be at liberty to proceed with the adjudication of the claim petitions in all respects, including recording of evidence and hearing of arguments;
 - 4.2. However, the Tribunal shall not pronounce or draw up the final award in the said proceedings until further orders are passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP Nos. 8412-8413/2023.
5. It is further clarified that the ultimate outcome of the proceedings before the Tribunal shall abide by the



decision to be rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid Special Leave Petitions.

5.1. In the event the Hon'ble Supreme Court holds that claim petitions filed beyond the period of six months from the date of the accident are maintainable, the Tribunal shall be at liberty to proceed to pronounce and draw up the final award in accordance with law;

5.2. Conversely, if it is held that such claim petitions are not maintainable, the Tribunal shall pass appropriate orders in conformity with the law so declared.

6. With the above Observations, the Writ Petition stands **disposed**.

Sd/-
(SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)
JUDGE

LN
List No.: 19 Sl No.: 0