



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 16TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2025

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. V. ARAVIND

MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL NO. 8923 OF 2018 (GW)

BETWEEN:

SRI. C P BHAVYA
D/O C N PARAMESH
AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS,
R/AT: CHOUDANAHALLI,
THAGARE POST, BIKKODU HOBLI,
BELUR TALUK
NOW RESIDING AT:
BASAVANAHALLI, NARSINGA RAO ROAD,
CHIKKAMAGALURU.

...APPELLANT

Digitally
signed by K G
RENUKAMBA (BY SRI. SUNDARESH H.C., ADVOCATE)

Location:
HIGH COURT
OF
KARNATAKA

AND:

C. HARSHAVARDHANA
S/O A N CHANDRASHEKAR,
AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS,
R/AT ANOOR VILLAGE AND POST,
CHIKKAMAGALURU

...RESPONDENT

(RESPONDENT SERVED BUT UNREPRESENTED)



THIS MFA IS FILED UNDER SECTION 47(a) OF GUARDIAN AND WARDS ACT 1890 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 27.04.2018 PASSED IN G & WC NO.10/2015 ON THE FILE OF THE PRL. JUDGE FAMILY COURT, CHIKKAMAGALURU, ALLOWING THE PETITION FILED BY THE PETITIONER UNDER SECTION 7 OF THE GUARDIANS AND WARDS ACT, 1890.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI**
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. V. ARAVIND

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI)

Heard the learned counsel for the appellant.

2. The contention of the learned counsel for the appellant is that the present appeal is filed challenging the order of the learned Principal Judge, Family Court at Chikkamagaluru dated 27.04.2018 in G and W.C. No.10/2015, whereby the application moved by the respondent under Section 7 of the Guardians and Wards Act, 1890, was allowed. It is stated that,



as evident from paragraph No.11 of the impugned order, the age of the child was 14 years then. The child has attained majority.

3. In view of the statement made and on perusal of the record, it is evident that the appeal has become infructuous. It is accordingly ***dismissed***.

Sd/-
(JAYANT BANERJI)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(K. V. ARAVIND)
JUDGE