



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.M.NADAF

MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL NO. 7367 OF 2019 (FC)

BETWEEN:

SMT.PRIYANKA,
W/O.RAJNISH KUMAR MISHRA,
AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS,
R/AT NO.32, 1ST FLOOR,
6TH MAIN, 2ND CROSS,
VINAYAKA LAYOUT,
CHINNAPANNAHALLI,
BANGALORE-560 037.

...APPELLANT

(BY SMT.PRAMILA NESARGI., SENIOR COUNSEL
FOR SMT.BINDU U., ADVOCATE)

AND:

SRI.RAJINISH KUMAR MISHRA,
S/O. GANESH MISHRA,
AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS,
R/AT KUNWAR SINGH COLONY,
CHAS, BOKARO,
JHARKHAND-827 013,

WORKING AT: DELLOITE INDIA,
C BLOCK, HI-TECH CITY,





HYDERABAD,
TELANGANA.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI.OMAR SHARIFF., ADVOCATE)

THIS MFA IS FILED U/S 19(1) OF THE FAMILY COURTS ACT, AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED. 30.11.2018, PASSED IN MC.NO.2598/2017, ON THE FILE OF THE VI ADDITIONAL PRINCIPAL JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, BENGALURU, PARTLY ALLOWING THE PETITION FILED U/SEC.13(1)(ia) OF THE HINDU MARRIAGE ACT.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.M.NADAF

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI)

1. Smt.Pramila Nesargi, the learned Senior counsel appearing online states that despite repeated letters being sent to the appellant, no instructions are being sent by her. It is also stated that a memo of retirement dated 20.01.2026 has also been filed by her.



2. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. The appeal is therefore, dismissed for non-prosecution.

3. This order has been passed in the presence of the learned counsel for the respondent.

Sd/-
(JAYANT BANERJI)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(T.M.NADAF)
JUDGE

GH
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 24