



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S.KINAGI

CIVIL MISC. PETITION NO. 209 OF 2023

BETWEEN:

G SUDHAKARA REDDY
S/O LATE LAKSHMIPATHI REDDY
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS
R/AT NO.12 , 1ST CROSS
KAVERI LAYOUT
MARTATHALLI
BENGALURU – 560 037

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI.G.SUDHAKARA REDDY .,ADVOCATE (ABSENT))

AND:

1. K CHANDRA SEKHAR
S/O SRI. K. DAMODARA NAIDU,
AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS,
R/AT NO. 31 (OLD NO. 10), 'C' CROSS.
VIVEKANANDA NAGAR,
KATHRIGUPPE MAIN ROAD,
BENGALURU -560 085.
2. N D MANJUNATH
S/O LATE N C DAMODHAR NAIDU
AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS
R/AT NO.388/22, 8TH MAIN ROAD
HANUMANTHANAGAR
BENGALURU -560 019.

... RESPONDENTS

(NOTICE SERVED TO R-1)





THIS CIVIL MISC. PETITION IS FILED UNDER SEC.11 (6) OF THE ARBITRATION AND CONCILIATION ACT, 1996, PRAYING TO PASS AN ORDER APPOINTING SHRI KUKKAJE RAMAKRISHNA BHAT RETIRED DISTRICT JUDGE, BANGALORE OR ANY OTHER PERSON AS ARBITRATOR TO RESOLVE THE DISPUTES BETWEEN THE PETITIONER AND THE RESPONDENTS IN RELATION TO THE JDA DATED 10.02.2020 VIDE ANNEXURE A, BY ALLOWING THIS PETITION.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S.KINAGI

ORAL ORDER

1. The petitioner has filed this writ petition through the counsel. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner filed a memo for retirement on 09.12.2024.
2. This Court, vide Order dated 10.10.2025, permitted the learned counsel for the petitioner to retire from the case and the Registry was directed to show the name of the petitioner and the matter was adjourned to 31.10.2025. It was made clear that if there was no representation made on behalf of the petitioner on that day, the petition would be dismissed for non-prosecution.



3. Despite the matter being adjourned to 14.11.2025, 12.12.2025, 13.01.2026, 22.01.2026 and 19.02.2026, none appeared for the petitioner.
4. Despite granting sufficient opportunity, the petitioner has neither engaged a counsel nor is present before the Court. As the petition is of the year 2023, I do not find any grounds to adjourn the matter further.
5. In this view of the matter, the petition is ***dismissed for non-prosecution.***

Sd/-
(ASHOK S.KINAGI)
JUDGE

RK
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 61