



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 14354 OF 2025 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

SHIVANNA
S/O MUDLAIAH
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
R/AT.YADAVANAHALLI VILLAGE,
C.S.PURA HOBLI,
GUBBI TALUK,
TUMKUR DISTRICT - 572 101.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SRINIVASA GOWDA K., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. NARASIMHAIAH
S/O LATE. GOVINDAIAH
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
R/AT C.YADAVANAHALLI VILLAGE,
C.S. PURA HOBLI,
GUBBI TALUK,
TUMKUR DISTRICT - 572 101.

2. THE THASHILDAR
LAND GRANT COMMITTEE
GUBBI TALUK,
GUBBI-572 216.





3. SMT. GANGAMMA
S/O LATE.VENKATAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 76 YEARS,
R/AT LAKKENAHALLI VILLAGE,
HEBBUR HOBLI,
TUMKUR TALUK,
TUMKUR-572 101.
4. SMT. RANGAMMA
W/O LATE. KAREGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS,
R/AT C.YADVANAHALI VILALGE,
C.S. PURA HOBLI,
GUBBI TALUK,
GUBBI-572 216.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.SESHU V., HCGP FOR R2)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DISMISS THE APPEAL IN L.N.D.(CR).(G)2-2020 FILED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 18.03.2020 BEFORE THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER AT TUMKUR INRESPECT OF BEARING SY.NO.133 OF UNGRA VILLAGE, C.S. PURA HOBLI, GUBBI TALUK, TUMKUR DISTRICT AN EXTENT OF 00-20 GUNTAS PRODUCED AS ANNEXURE-A AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned High Court Government Pleader takes notice for respondent No.2. Notice to the other respondents is not necessary for the following reasons.

2. Learned HCGP raises a preliminary objection that the petitioner who is respondent No.1 before the Assistant Commissioner in proceedings bearing No.L.N.D.(CR).(G)2/2020 seeking to quash the proceedings initiated by respondent No.1 herein before the Assistant Commissioner. Respondent No.1 has filed the appeal invoking Rule 108-K read with Section 49 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 seeking cancellation of the grant said to have been made in favour of the petitioner herein. Learned HCGP submits that since respondent No.1 has approached the appellate authority seeking to cancel the grant, the petitioner cannot seek to quash the entire proceedings.

Upholding the submissions of the learned HCGP, the petition stands ***disposed of*** directing the petitioner to participate in the proceedings before the Assistant



NC: 2026:KHC:11480
WP No. 14354 of 2025

Commissioner in the appeal filed at the hands of respondent
No.1.

Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

GPG
List No.: 1 SI No.: 32