



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 441 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

SMT. SUNEETHA
D/O B.K.JAYAKUMAR,
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS,
RESIDING AT: NO.48,
2ND MAIN, LAZAR LAYOUT,
FRAZER TOWN,
BENGALURU, PIN 560 005.

...COMPLAINANT

(BY SRI. MOHAN KUMAR N, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SRI. MAHESH. M
MAJOR,
FATHER NAME NOT KNOWN
EXECUTIVE MANAGER AND
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF KIADB,
KHANIJA BHAVAN,
RACE COURSE ROAD,
BENGALURU-560001.
2. SMT.VENKATALAKSHMI,
THE SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OF
OFFICE -1





KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL
AREA DEVELOPMENT BOARD (KIADB),
SCOUTS AND GUIDES BUILDING,
PALACE ROAD,
BENGALURU-560001.

...ACCUSED

(BY SMT. MONICA PATIL, ADVOCATE)

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTION 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT R/W ARTICLE N 215 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, BY THE COMPLAINANT, PRAYING TO PUNISH THE ACCUSED NO.1 AND 2 FOR COMMITTING WILFUL DISOBEDIENCE OF THE ORDER DATED 16.10.2024 PASSED IN WP NO.3666/2024 AS PER THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE)

1. The complainant has filed the present complaint alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 16.10.2024 passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P. No.3666/2024 (LA-KIADB).
2. In terms of the said order, the Karnataka Industrial Area Development Board and the Special Land Acquisition Officer arrayed as respondent Nos.2 and 3 to the writ petition were



directed to consider the complainant's representation dated 09.01.2024.

3. The learned counsel for the accused has handed over a memo enclosing therewith an endorsement dated 18.03.2026 disposing of the complainant's representation.

4. In the aforesaid view, we do not consider it apposite to initiate any proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. The complaint is accordingly, closed.

5. We however clarify that this would not preclude the complainant from availing of her substantive remedy including in respect of the endorsement dated 18.03.2026.

Sd/-
(VIBHU BAKHRU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
(C.M. POONACHA)
JUDGE