



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 15TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2025

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 439 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

SRI. KRISHNAMURTHY
S/O LATE BHEEMALAH,
AGED ABOUT 82 YEARS,
R/AT NO.512-555, 7TH MAIN ROAD,
11TH CROSS, GIRINAGARA
2ND PHASE, BENGALURU - 560085

...COMPLAINANT

(BY SRI. SATHYA, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. K V NARASIMHAN, ADVOCATE)

AND:

M. S. LAVENDRA
THE CHIEF MANAGER,
HINDUSTAN PETROLEUM
CORPORATION LIMITED,
H.P.C.L HASSAN TERMINAL,
POST BOX, 119, PLOT NO.1A,
K.I.A.B.D, INDUSTRIAL AREA,
MYSORE ROAD,
HASSAN-573201

...ACCUSED

(BY SRI. PRAMOD B, ADVOCATE)

Digitally
signed by
NIRMALA
DEVI

Location:
HIGH COURT
OF
KARNATAKA



THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTION 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT, 1971 R/W ARTICLE 215 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, BY THE COMPLAINANT, PRAYING TO PUNISH THE ACCUSED FOR HAVING COMMITTED THE CONTEMPT OF THE COURT ORDER DATED 02.12.2024 PASSED BY THE LEARNED SINGLE JUDGE OF THIS HONBLE COURT IN WP NO.30656/2024 AT ANNEXURE-A AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE)

1. The complainant has filed the present complaint, *inter alia*, alleging the willful disobedience of the order dated 2.12.2024 passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court in Writ Petition No.30656/2024 (LA-RES).

2. The operative part of the said order reads as under:

4. Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties and taking into account the factual aspects on record, without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, respondent No.6-Corporation is directed to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of the communication made by the respondents 4 and 3 in their letters dated 19th August, 2024 (Annexure-H) and 02nd September, 2024 (Annexure-J) respectively, within an outer limit of three months from the



date of receipt of this order. Accordingly, writ petition is disposed of.

3. The accused has filed compliance affidavit enclosing therewith a communication dated 28.6.2025 rejecting the complainant's representation.

4. In view of the above, the order is complied with. The complaint, accordingly, is closed. We clarify that it would not preclude the complainant from availing of any remedies against the rejection of his representation in accordance with law.

Sd/-
(VIBHU BAKHRU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
(C.M. POONACHA)
JUDGE