



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

WRIT APPEAL NO. 616 OF 2025 (EDN-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. RAJIV GANDHI UNIVERSITY
OF HEALTH SCIENCES
4TH BLOCK, JAYANAGAR
BENGALURU - 560 041
REPRESENTED BY IT'S
REGISTRAR EVALUATION

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI. FARAH FATHIMA., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. DR. NIKHILA V REDDY
D/O K. VISHWANATH REDDY
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS
AT PRESENT RESIDING AT
UNITED ELYSIUM-305
SEEGEHALLI
KANNAMANGALA POST
BENGALURU - 560 067

2. NATIONAL MEDICAL COMMISSION
POCKET NO.14, SECTOR 8
DWARAKA, NEW DELHI - 110 077
REPRESENTED BY IT'S SECRETARY

...RESPONDENTS

(BY MS. SOWMYA R, ADVOCATE FOR
MS. SUMANA BALIGA M, ADVOCATE FOR C/R-1)





THIS WRIT APPEAL FILED U/S 4 OF THE KARNATAKA HIGH COURT ACT, 1962 PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 19/03/2025 PASSED BY THE LEARNED SINGLE JUDGE OF THIS HON'BLE COURT IN WP NO.7862/2025 AND DISMISS THE WRIT PETITION FILED BY THE PETITIONER AND ETC.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE)

1. The appellant has filed the present appeal impugning an order dated 19.03.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court in W.P.No.7862/2025(EDN-RES).
2. The respondent had filed the said petition, *inter alia*, praying that directions be issued to the appellant to consider the marks awarded to the respondent for the examination in the discipline of MD Pathology held in January, 2025.



3. It was the writ petitioner's case before the learned Single Judge that she had obtained 49.25% marks, however, she claims that the same were required to be rounded off to 50%.

4. It is the appellant's case that the respondent was required to obtain 200 out of 400 marks. However, she had obtained 197 marks and therefore there was no possibility of rounding of the said marks to 200.

5. Notwithstanding the same, the petition was allowed following the decision in the case of **Dr. K.Sree Laxmi v. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences and another**¹. The said decision was carried in appeal and was set aside by virtue of the order dated 26.06.2025 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in Writ Appeal No.448/2025(EDN-RES) and other connected matters.

6. Concededly the questions are covered in favour of the appellant by the said decision.

¹ WP No.3438/2025 and Connected Matters decided on 24.02.2025



**NC: 2026:KHC:11924-DB
WA No. 616 of 2025**

7. The appeal is, accordingly, allowed and the impugned order is set aside.

**Sd/-
(VIBHU BAKHRU)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**Sd/-
(C.M. POONACHA)
JUDGE**

KMV
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 10