



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 16TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO.11464 OF 2022 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

SRI. M.C. KRISHNE GOWDA
S/O CHIKKA RAMEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 76 YEARS,
ENGINEERING CONTRACTORS,
NO.1431, 1ST FLOOR,
22ND MAIN, 22ND CROSS,
BANASHANKARI 2ND STAGE,
BENGALURU - 560 070.
REP. BY ITS S.P.A. HOLDER
SRI. ARJUN N.
S/O NARASIMHA GOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. V.B. SHIVA KUMAR, ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by
ARUN KUMAR M S
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

1. BANGALORE UNIVERSITY
OFFICE OF THE UNIVERSITY ENGINEER,
JNANA BHARATHI,
BENGALURU - 560 056.
REP. BY ITS REGISTRAR.
2. UNIVERSITY ENGINEER
BANGALORE UNIVERSITY,
JNANA BHARATHI COMPLEX,
BENGALURU - 560 056.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. N.K. RAMESH, ADVOCATE FOR R1)



THIS WRIT PETITION FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS TO DISBURSE THE AMOUNT DUE AND LIABLE TO BE PAID TO THE PETITIONER IN TERMS OF THE CONTRACT WORK ENTRUSTED TO THE PETITIONER PERTAINING TO EACH OF THE WORK ORDER MENTIONED IN PRAYER; AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

In this writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that, though the petitioner completed the work entrusted by the respondent-University, amount was not disbursed for completion of civil works. In this regard, the petitioner approached the respondent-University by way of representation dated 03rd August, 2019 (Annexure-A6), seeking finalization and payment of various construction projects taken on tender basis in the premises of Jnanabharati Campus pertaining to Bengaluru University.

2. Heard Sri. V.B. Shivakumar, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Sri. N.K. Ramesh, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.1.



3. Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties and perusal of the averments made in the writ petition, the same would indicate that the Civil works entrusted to the petitioner have been completed as per the Work Completion Certificate dated 28th July, 2017 (Annexure-A3). In that view of the matter, without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the respondent-University is directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 03rd August, 2019 (Annexure-A6) in accordance with law, within an outer limit of three months from the date of receipt of this order. Accordingly, writ petition is ***disposed of.***

SD/-
(E.S. INDIRESH)
JUDGE

ARK
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 48