



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

WRIT PETITION NO. 11311 OF 2025 (LB-RES)

BETWEEN:

SMT. KAMALA SHEDTHI
W/O SURESH SHETTY,
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
R/AT HOSAMANE BANIMATHA,
KURADI POST, HANEHALLI, UDUPI TALUK,
UDUPI - 576 210.

...PETITIONER

(BY SMT. VEENA T.N., ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. M.R.BALAKRISHNA., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. PANCHAYAT DEVELOPMENT OFFICER
HANEHALLI GRAM PANCHAYAT,
BRAHMAVAR TALUK, UDUPI DISTRICT,
UDUPI - 576 210.
2. THE HANEHALLI GRAM PANCHAYAT
REPRESENTED BY THE PRESIDENT,
HANEHALLI,
UDUPI -576 210.
3. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PANCHAYAT RAJ ENGINEERING SUB-DIVISION,
RAJATHADRI, DC OFFICE COMPLEX,
C BLOCK, 1ST FLOOR, MANIPAL,
UDUPI - 576 104.





4. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PANCHAYAT RAJ ENGINEERING SUB-DIVISION,
RAJATHADRI, DC OFFICE COMPLEX,
C BLOCK, 1ST FLOOR, MANIPAL,
UDUPI - 576 104.
5. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
ZILLA PANCHAYAT, RAJATHADRI, MANIPAL,
UDUPI DISTRICT - 576 104.
6. SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
PANCHAYAT RAJ ENGINEER CIRCLE,
MANGALORE - 575 001.
7. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
UDUPI DISTRICT, RAJATHADRI, MANIPAL,
UDUPI - 576 104.
8. SMT. GAYATHRI
W/O. PRAVEEN ACHARYA,
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS,
R/AT HERADI VILLAGE, RANGANAKERE,
BARKUR POST, BRAHMAVAR TALUK,
UDUPI DISTRICT - 576 210.
9. SHRI. PRAVEEN ACHARYA
S/O. VASUDEV ACHARYA,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
HERADI VILLAGE, RANGANKERE,
BARKUR POST, BRAHMAVAR TALUK,
UDUPI DISTRICT - 576 210.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. SPOORTHY.V, HCGP FOR R7;
SRI. CHANDRAKANTHI ARIGA, ADVOCATE FOR R8 AND R9;
SRI. ASHOK.N. NAYAK, ADVOCATE FOR R3 AND R4;
SRI. PRASAD HEGDE K.B., ADVOCATE FOR R1;
R2, R5 AND R6- SERVED)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226
AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO



QUASH THE ORDER DATED 28.02.2025 PASSED BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT MADE IN NO.PAM.RA.EM.VI.U:AE-1:ROAD CUTTING-2024-25/3959 PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-A IN THE GREATER INTEREST OF JUSTICE, EQUITY AND GOOD CONSCIENCE.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING / PHYSICAL HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

ORAL ORDER

The petitioner is aggrieved by laying of a pipeline by respondent Nos.8 and 9 connecting their property. The grievance of the petitioner is that without her permission, the pipeline is drawn through her property in Survey No.53/18 of Hanehalli Village. Respondent Nos.8 and 9 submits that they are drawing the pipeline to their property in accordance with law, after obtaining all the necessary permissions. It is submitted that the pipeline is being drawn to get water to the property of respondent Nos.8 and 9. It is further submitted that under no



circumstances, the pipeline will be laid in Survey No.53/18 of Hanehalli Village, which is the property of the petitioner.

2. Recording the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos.8 and 9, the Writ Petition is hereby ***disposed of*** with a direction to respondent Nos.8 and 9 and the other respondents that the pipeline which is being laid at the request of respondent Nos.8 and 9 shall not pass through the property of the petitioner, which is in Survey No.53/18 of Hanehalli Village.

**SD/-
(M.I.ARUN)
JUDGE**

CH
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 32