



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU
DATED THIS THE 9TH DAY OF JUNE, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL
WRIT PETITION NO.53601/2018 (GM-CPC)
C/W
WRIT PETITION NO.53207/2018 (GM-CPC)

IN W.P. No.53601/2018:

BETWEEN:

1. K.L. RAMESH
S/O LATE K.R. LAKSHMIKANTHARAJA SETTY
PROP: SKANDA INVESTMENTS
AGED ABOUT 74 YEARS.

SINCE DEAD BY HIS LR'S.

1A. SMT. K.R. INDIRA
W/O LATE MR. K.L. RAMESH
AGED ABOUT 74 YEARS.

1B. K. GOWRI KAMAL
W/O LATE MR. K.R. KAMAL
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS.

1C. K.K. SKANDA
S/O LATE MR. K.R. KAMAL
AGED ABOUT 31 YEARS.

ALL ARE R/AT. NO.60/38
40TH CROSS, JAYANAGARA 8TH BLOCK
BANGALORE - 560011.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. NARASE GOWDA, ADV.,)





NC: 2026:KHC:27465
W.P. No.53601/2018
C/W W.P. No.53207/2018

AND:

1. MR. M.N. DHARMAVRATHA
S/O MR. M. NARAYANA DAS
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
POORNA HORTICULTURE
2ND MAIN, 47TH CROSS, 8TH BLOCK
JAYANAGARA, BANGALORE-560 082.

2. C. VENKATA RAJU
S/O LATE VENKATARAMA SETTY
SINCE DEAD BY HIS LRS.

2(a) MRS. C. KRISHNAVENI
W/O LATE C. VENKATA RAJU
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS.

2(b) SRI. RAVI KIRAN
S/O LATE C. VENKATA RAJU
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS.

2(c) SRI. C. RAJESH
S/O LATE C. VENKATARAJU
AGED ABOUT 31 YEARS.

ALL ARE R/AT NO.16, GOVINDAPPA ROAD
BASAVANAGUDI, BANGALORE-560 004.

3. K.R. KAMAL
S/O MR. K.L. RAMESH
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS
R/AT NO.60/38
40TH CROSS, JAYANAGARA
8TH BLOCK, BANGALORE-560 011.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. R. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADV., FOR
SRI. K.S. RAMESH, ADV., FOR R1
V/O/DTD:19.02.2019 NOTICE TO R2 (a) TO R2(c) AND R3 ARE
D/W)



NC: 2026:KHC:27465
W.P. No.53601/2018
C/W W.P. No.53207/2018

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH OR SET ASIDE OR MODIFY THE IMUGNED ORDERS PASED BY THE LEARNED XXXVIII ADDL. CITY CIVIL JUDGE AT BANGALORE, DATED 07.11.2018, IN OS 1375 OF 2011, ON IA NO.10, FILED UNDER SECTION 94 (b) & (e) AND R/W O.38 RULE 5 CPC AND SECTION 151 CPC PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-Q AND ALLOW THE WRIT PETITION IN TERMS OF THE IA NO.10, FILED UNDER SECTION 94 (b) AND (e) AND R/W O.38 RULE 5, CPC AND SECTION 151 CPC PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-J & ETC.

IN W.P. NO.53207/2018:

BETWEEN:

SRI. M.N. DHARMAVRATHA
S/O LATE M. NARAYANA DAS
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS
R/AT. TAPOVANA APARTMENT
NO.404, VASISTHA BLOCK
VISVESWARANAGAR
INDUSTRIAL SUBURB
MYSORE SOUTH
MYSORE-570008.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. R. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADV., FOR
SRI. RAMESH K.S. ADV.,)

AND:

1. SRI. K.L. RAMESH
S/O K.R. LAKSHMIKANTHARAJA SETTY
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS
PROP: SKANDA INVESTMENTS
R/AT NO.60/38, 40TH CROSS
JAYANAGAR, 8TH BLOCK
BANGALORE-560 011.
2. SRI. C. VENKATA RAJU
S/O LATE VENKATARAMA SETTY
SINCE DEAD BY HIS LEGAL REP.



- SMT. C. KRISHNAVENI
- a. W/O LATE C. VENKATARAJU
AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS.
- b. SRI. RAVI KIRAN
S/O LATE C. VENKATARAJU
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS.
- c. SRI. C. RAJESH
S/O LATE C. VENKATARAJU
AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS
- 2(a) TO 2(c) ARE R/AT NO.16
GOVINDAPPA ROAD
BASAVANAGUDI
BANGALORE-560004.
3. SRI. K.R. KAMAL
S/O SRI. K.L. RAMESH
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS
R/AT NO.60/38, 40TH CROSS
JAYANAGAR 8TH BLOCK
BANGALORE-560011.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. NARASE GOWDA, ADV., FOR R1(a) TO R1(c))

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE IMPUGNED ORDER AT ANNEXURE-M DTD:7.11.2018 PASSED IN O.S.NO.1375/2011 PASSED BY THE COURT OF XXXVIII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL JUDGE, BANGALORE CITY (CCH-39) INsofar AS IT RELATES TO DIRECTING THE PETITIONER TO FURNISH CASH SECURITY IN A SUM OF RS.ONE CRORE FIFTY LAKSH WHICH IS IMPUGNED IN THE ABOVE W.P. AND ALLOW THE ABOVE W.P. ON MERITS IN THE ENDS OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY & ETC.

THESE PETITIONS, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL



ORAL ORDER

Sri.Ramesh K.S., learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in WP.No.53207/2018 files a memo and submits that the dispute between the parties has been resolved in OS.No.9124/2015 and parties have filed a joint memo, wherein it was agreed to withdraw the suit in OS.No.1375/2011.

2. The memo is placed on record along with the order of the trial Court as well as the joint memo.

3. In view of the aforesaid settlement, these petitions would not survive for consideration. Accordingly, these writ petitions are ***dismissed***.

4. Interim orders, if any, in these proceedings stand dissolved in view of the closure of the writ petitions.

**Sd/-
(VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL)
JUDGE**

ABK
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 20