



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

DATED THIS THE 26<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH**

**WRIT PETITION NO.9807 OF 2026 (CS-EL/M)**

**BETWEEN:**

1. SMT. MANJULA  
W/O NYANESH,  
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.48.
2. SMT. SARALA  
W/O DASHARATHA,  
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.36.
3. SMT. UMASHREE  
W/O GOPALAPPA,  
AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.37.
4. SMT. JYOTHI V.  
W/O BYRAPPA,  
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.42.
5. SMT. MEENAKSHI  
W/O ANNADURAI,  
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.46.
6. SMT. LAKSHMI  
W/O SRINIVAS,  
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.47.
7. SMT. JAYANTHI  
W/O SRIRAMULU,





AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.49.

8. SMT. MANJULA  
W/O SUBRAMANI,  
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.52.
9. SMT.HAMSAVENI  
W/O SATHYANANDA,  
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.53.
10. SMT. NAGARATHNAMMA  
W/O KARUNAKARA REDDY,  
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.58.
11. SMT. SHANTHAMMA  
W/O NARAYANAREDDY,  
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.59.
12. SMT. SUGANTHAMMA  
W/O CHANDRAPPA,  
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.63.
13. SMT. MAGESHWARI  
W/O LAKSHMANA,  
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.66.
14. SMT.INDRANI,  
W/O NAGABHUSHAN RAO,  
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.67.
15. SMT. BAGYAMMA  
W/O CHINNARAYAPPA,  
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.70.



16. SMT.SATHYAVANI  
W/O KODANDA,  
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.80.
17. SMT. PARVATHAMMA,  
W/O SRINIVAS,  
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.84.
18. SMT. PAVANA  
W/O SRINIVAS,  
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.85.
19. SMT. SUMALATHA,  
W/O GOVINDARAJU,  
AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.28.
20. SMT. CHANDRAMMA,  
W/O SUBRAMANI,  
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.29.
21. SMT. SHASHIKALA,  
W/O SHEKAR,  
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.32.
22. SMT. NAGARATHNA,  
W/O MURALI,  
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.39.
23. SMT. KANYAKUMARI,  
W/O NARAYANA REDDY,  
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.41.



24. SMT. RADHAMMA  
W/O MUNIYAPPA,  
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.62.
25. SMT. RATHNAMMA  
W/O CHENNAKRISHNA REDDY,  
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.65.
26. SMT. YUVARANI  
W/O MUNIRATHNAPPA  
AGED ABOUT 22 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.55.
27. LAKSHMAMMA  
W/O CHENGALARAMA REDDY,  
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.76.
28. SMT. SONIYA  
W/O CHALAPATHY,  
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.91.
29. SMT. KANTHAMMA  
W/O KALACHARI,  
AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.21.
30. SMT. GOWTHAMI  
W/O MUNIRAJU,  
AGED ABOUT 29 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.40.
31. SMT. SUMITHRA  
D/O VENKATESHAPPA,  
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.07



32. SMT. BAVITHA  
D/O GOVINDAPPA,  
AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.28.
33. SMT. MANGAMMA  
W/O MANJUNATH,  
AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.03.
34. RATHIYAMMA  
W/O GOVINDAPPA,  
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,  
SHARE NO.26.

PETITIONERS ARE THE MEMBERS  
OF THE M. KOTHURU MILK PRODUCERS  
WOMEN'S CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY LIMITED,  
M. KOTHURU VILLAGE,  
K.G.F. TALUK,  
KOLAR DISTRICT - 563 113.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. AVINASH V., ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA  
DEPARTMENT OF CO-OPERATION,  
M.S. BUILDING,  
DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,  
BENGALURU - 560 001.  
REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY.
2. THE STATE CO-OPERATIVE ELECTION COMMISSION,  
3<sup>RD</sup> FLOOR, T.T.M.C, 'A' BLOCK,  
SHANTHINAGAR,  
BENGALURU - 560027  
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY.



3. CO-OPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER/  
VERIFICATION OFFICER,  
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY REGISTRAR OF  
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY LTD.  
KOLAR TALUK,  
KOLAR DISTRICT - 563 101.
4. DEPUTY REGISTRAR OF  
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY/  
DISTRICT ELECTION OFFICER,  
KOLAR TALUK,  
KOLAR DISTRICT - 563 101.
5. RETURNING OFFICER,  
M.KOTHURU MILK PRODUCERS  
WOMEN'S CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY LIMITED,  
M. KOTHURU VILLAGE,  
K.G.F. TALUK,  
KOLAR DISTRICT - 563 113.
6. M. KOTURU MILK PRODUCERS WOMEN'S  
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY LIMITED,  
M.KOTHURU VILLAGE,  
K.G.F. TALUK,  
KOLAR DISTRICT - 563 113.  
REP. BY ITS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. YOGESH D. NAIK, AGA FOR R1 AND R3 TO R5;  
SRI. C.R. MAHENDRAGOWDA, ADVOCATE FOR C/R6)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE CALENDAR OF EVENTS DATED 06<sup>TH</sup> MARCH, 2026 VIDE ANNEXURE-A ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.6 FIXING THE ELECTION OF THE RESPONDENT NO.6-SOCIETY ON 29<sup>TH</sup> MARCH, 2026; DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS 3 TO 5 TO HOLD THE ELECTIONS TO THE RESPONDENT NO.6-SOCIETY AFTER THE STREET COMPLIANCE OF RULE-13D(2-A)(ii) OF THE KARNATAKA CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES RULES, 1960.



THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,  
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH

**ORAL ORDER**

Sri. Yogesh D. Naik, learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondents 1 and 3 to 5.

2. In this writ petition, petitioners are questioning the Calendar of Events dated 06<sup>th</sup> March, 2026 (Annexure-A) issued by the respondent No.5, wherein, election of the respondent No.6-Society has been fixed on 29<sup>th</sup> March, 2026; *inter alia* sought for direction to the respondents 3 to 5 to hold the elections to the respondent No.6-Society after the compliance of Rule 13D(2-A)(ii) of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Rules, 1960.

3. Heard Sri. Avinash V., learned counsel appearing for petitioners; Sri. Yogesh D. Naik, learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for respondents 1 and 3 to 5; and Sri. C.R. Mahendragowda, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.6.



4. Sri. Avinash V., learned counsel appearing for petitioners contended that the ineligible voters notice issued by the respondent No.6 produced at Annexure-B series disentitle the petitioners herein to participate in the electioneering process. Accordingly, he sought for interference of this Court.

5. Per contra, Sri. Yogesh D. Naik, learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for respondents 1 and 3 to 5 submits that, if the petitioners are aggrieved by the issuance of ineligible voters notices dated 20<sup>th</sup> February, 2026 (Annexure-B series), it is open for them to approach the competent authority by raising election dispute. Accordingly, he sought for dismissal of the petition.

6. Having taken note of the submission made by learned counsel appearing for the parties, there is no dispute that the petitioners herein have been declared as ineligible voters as per notices issued by the respondent No.6. Therefore, in view of law declared by the Division Bench of this Court in the case of **RAMANAGOUDA vs. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA AND OTHERS** made in *Writ Appeal No.100619 of 2024* decided on 06<sup>th</sup> June, 2025, petitioners herein have a



remedy to approach the competent authority by raising election dispute. In that view of the matter, I am of the view that, no interference be called for in this petition. Accordingly, writ petition is ***dismissed.***

**SD/-  
(E.S. INDIRESH)  
JUDGE**

ARK  
LIST NO.: 3 SL NO.: 1