



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO.9713 OF 2026 (EDN-RES)

BETWEEN:

CHAUSON EDUCATION SOCIETY
(*SOCIETY REGISTERED UNDER THE
KARNATAKA SOCIETIES REGISTRATION ACT, 1960*)
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT: NO.14,
M.L.A. LAYOUT, R.M.V. II STAGE, 4TH BLOCK,
NEW B.E.L. ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 094.
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY,
SMT. SHAKUNTALA CHUGULE,
AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SAGAR B.B., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
HIGHER EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,
VIDHNA SOUDHA,
BENGALURU - 560 001.
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY.
2. THE DIRECTOR
COLLEGE DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL,
RANICHENAMMA UNIVERSITY,
VIDYA SANGAMA,
BELAGAVI - 591 156.
3. THE REGISTRAR,
RANICHANAMMA UNIVERSITY,
VIDYA SANGAMA,
BELAGAVI - 591 156.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. B. SUKANYA BALIGA, AGA FOR R1 AND R2;
V/O DATED 27.03.2026, NOTICE TO R3 IS DISPENSED WITH)





THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER DATED 27TH OCTOBER, 2025 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.1 VIDE ANNEXURE-G; AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Smt. B. Sukanya Baliga, learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondents 1 and 2.

2. This petition is filed by the petitioner challenging the order dated 27th October, 2025 (Annexure-G) passed by the respondent No.1.

3. Heard Sri. Sagar B.B., learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Smt. B. Sukanya Baliga, learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for respondents 1 and 2.



4. Sri. Sagar B.B., learned counsel appearing for the petitioner invited the attention of the Court to the impugned order dated 27th October, 2025 (Annexure-G) and submitted that the respondent No.1 had rejected the application made by the petitioner for permanent affiliation to the institution of the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner has to furnish a Structural Integrity Certificate and Fire Safety Certificate issued by the competent authority. In this regard, learned counsel referred to the letter dated 03rd March, 2025 (Annexure-F) in respect of the compliance as to the Structural Stability Certificate and Fire Certificate.

5. Smt. B. Sukanaya Baliga, learned Additional Government Advocate sought to justify the impugned order passed by the respondents 1 and 2.

6. In the light of submission made by learned counsel appearing for the parties, taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner herein had complied with the requirement as stated in the impugned order, writ petition



deserves to be allowed and matter be remitted to the respondent No.1 to reconsider the issue afresh. Accordingly, I pass the following:

ORDER

- (i) Writ Petition ***allowed;***
- (ii) Order dated 27th October, 2025 (Annexure-G) passed by the respondent No.1 is hereby set-aside and matter is remitted to the respondent No.1 to reconsider the issue afresh and take decision in the light of observation made above;
- (iv) It is made clear that, in the event, if the respondent No.1 requires any other documents for taking decision, it is open for the respondent-Authorities to request the petitioner for furnishing the same.

**SD/-
(E.S. INDIRESH)
JUDGE**

ARK
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 10