



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF MARCH, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL NO. 2545 OF 2024 (IDA)

BETWEEN:

SRI. JAMES PRAJWAL
S/O SRI JOHN SOWJANYA,
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS,
R/AT NO.120, OLD POST OFFICE BLDG,
OLD POST OFFICE ROAD,
RAMURTHYNAGAR,
BANGALORE - 560 016

...APPELLANT

(BY SMT. JAHNAVI M., ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. CHANDRAKANTH R. GOULAY, ADVOCATE)

AND:

SMT. JOYCE HANNA,
W/O. SRI JAMES PRAJWAL,
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS,
R/AT CSI CHURCH COMPOUND,
CHURCH ROAD, RANEBENNUR - 581 115.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI.BASAVARAJU S. SAPPANNAVAR, ADVOCATE)

THIS MFA IS FILED UNDER SECTION 55 OF THE INDIAN DIVORCE ACT, AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 05.03.2024 PASSED IN M.C.NO.163/2019 ON THE FILE OF THE JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, DAVANAGERE, DISMISSING THE PETITION FILED UNDER SECTION 10(1)(X) OF THE DIVORCE ACT, 1869.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:





CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI)

When the matter was listed on 09.03.2026, the following order was passed:

"A memo dated 12.12.2025 is on record. However, the second set of this memo does not bear the signature of the appellant on record. Moreover, this memo purports to enclose a joint compromise petition, which is not in original, but it is a photocopy. As such, this memo is rejected.

Ms. Jahnavi M., the junior counsel appearing for the advocate on record requests an adjournment to enable her to file a fresh memo enclosing the original of the compromise petition and also to file the second set of that memo to be filed.

As prayed, list the matter for 'Dismissal' on 23.03.2026."

2. Today, the learned counsel for the appellant states that the joint compromise petition was filed in Civil Petition No.176/2025, Sri. James Prajwal and Smt. Joyce Hanna, which petition came to be disposed of by means of the judgment dated 27.10.2025, whereby the compromise petition was placed on record and the Civil Petition disposed of. Therein the



Court had noticed that the compromise petition depicts the terms of the settlement.

3. We have perused the order dated 27.10.2025 passed in Civil Petition No.176/2025. The joint compromise petition reflects that the parties have agreed to withdraw their respective cases against each other including the aforesaid M.F.A No.2545/2024. The last sentence of clause 6 of the compromise petition reads that "Any other case filed by each of the parties in any court of law shall stand withdrawn by this Compromise Petition".

4. In view of the aforesaid statement made in the compromise petition, this appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

Sd/-
(JAYANT BANERJI)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(RAJESH RAI K)
JUDGE