



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION No.511 OF 2025
(397(Cr.PC) / 438(BNSS))

BETWEEN:

MR.RAVINANDA L
S/O LAKSHMEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
C/O BYRAVESHWARA NILAYA,
KUPPALLI DEVEGOWDA,
HEMAVATHI HOSPITAL ROAD,
SAHYADRI CIRCLE 2ND CROSS,
HASSAN- 573 201.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI KIRAN M M, ADVOCATE)

AND:

MR.CHANDRAKUMAR Y.S.
S/O SHIVAPPAGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,
R/O YOGIHALLI VILLAGE,
DUDDA HOBLI, HASSAN TALUK,
HASSAN – 573 220.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. SHESHADRI B T, ADVOCATE)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED UNDER SECTION 397 R/W 401 CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION AND SENTENCE PASSED BY THE PRINCIPAL CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC, HASSAN IN C.C No.1370/2021 DATED 16/01/2024 CONVICTING THE REVISION PETITIONER FOR AN OFFENCE PUNISHABLE UNDER SECTION 138 OF NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT ACT AND SENTENCING HIM TO PAY A FINE OF Rs.4,15,000/- IN DEFAULT OF PAYMENT FINE AMOUNT, TO





UNDERGO SI FOR SIX MONTHS AND THE JUDGMENT PASSED BY THE II ADDL.DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT HASSAN IN CRL.APPEAL No.37/2024 WHEREIN THE LEARNED SESSIONS JUDGE WAS PLEASED TO CONFIRM THE LOWER COURT ORDER BY ORDER DATED 22/01/2025, BY ALLOWING THE ABOVE APPEAL.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

ORAL ORDER

Parties present before the Court. They file an application under Section 147 of Negotiable Instruments Act, which reads as under:

"1. *The respondent has filed the case against the petitioner for the offence punishable under Section 138 of Negotiable Instrument Act in C.C. No.1370/2021 on the file of Hon'ble Principal Civil Judge and JMFC at Hassan, wherein the Hon'ble Trial Court after conclusion of Trial and hearing on both parties, was pleased to convict the accused by vide judgment dated 16.01.2024.*

2. *Being aggrieved by the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Trial Court the petitioner preferred an appeal in Crl.A.No.37/2024 on the file of Hon'ble II Additional District and Sessions Judge at Hassan. After hearing both the parties the Hon'ble Appellate Court dismissed the appeal by vide judgment dated 22.01.2025.*



3. *Being aggrieved by the judgment of both the Courts the petitioner preferred this revision petition before this Hon'ble Court.*

4. *It is submitted that the petitioner and respondent have settled their dispute amicably and case is settled for an amount of Rs.4,37,500/- as full and final settlement (including deposited amount.)*

5. *The respondent/complainant is agreed to receive the aforesaid amount in following 4 instalments:*

(i) 1st installment on 30.05.2026-Rs.57,500/-.

(ii) 1st installment on 30.06.2026-Rs.57,500/-.

(iii) 1st installment on 30.07.2026-Rs.57,500/-.

(iv) 1st installment on 30.08.2026-Rs.57,500/-.

6. *The respondent undertakes that he is not having further claim against the petitioner/accused, and also undertakes to return the all the documents if any.*

7. *The respondent, in the light of the settlement, has no objection to set aside the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Trial Court and Appellate Court, by compounding the offence.*

8. *It is agreed between the parties that in case the petitioner/accused fails to comply the terms and conditions of this application, the respondent/complainant is at the liberty to reopen the case.*



WHEREFORE, both the parties humbly pray that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to record the settlement and compound the offence punishable under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act and set aside the judgment dated 16.01.2024 passed by Hon'ble Principal Civil Judge and JMFC at Hassan in C.C.No. 1370/2021 and judgment dated 22.01.2025 passed by Hon'ble II Additional District and Sessions Judge at Hassan in Crl.A.No.37/2024, consequently acquit the accused in the interest of justice."

2. In view of the above, revision petition stands ***disposed of.***

3. The amount in deposit is ordered to be withdrawn by the complainant under due identification.

4. It is made clear that if the amount is not deposited as stated in the application, revision petitioner shall undergo imprisonment as ordered by the Trial Court confirmed by the First Appellate Court.

**Sd/-
(V SRISHANANDA)
JUDGE**

kcm
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 77