



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NAGAPRASANNA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 4504 OF 2026

BETWEEN:

1. DEVANSHU PRAVEEN SAHOO
S/O UMESH CHANDRA SAHOO
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS
RESIDING AT NO. 04
NAKSHATRA APARTMENT
VAIKUNTA LAYOUT
LAKSHMINARAYANAPURAM
AECS LAYOUT, BENGALURU – 560 037.
2. UMESH CHANDRA SAHOO
AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
S/O BALARAM SAHOO
RESIDING AT NEW COLONY
KENDUJHARGOAD, KENDUJHAR TOWN
MADHAPUR, KENDUJHAR
ORISSA – 758 001.
3. SUMANA SAHOO
W/O UMESH CHANDRA SAHOO
AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS
RESIDING AT NEW COLONY
KENDUJHARGOAD, KENDUJHAR TOWN
MADHAPUR, KENDUJHAR
ORISSA – 758 001.

...PETITIONERS

(BY MS. ANJANA C. H., ADVOCATE)





AND:

1. STATE BY
H.A.L POLICE STATION
SECTOR 3, MARATHAHALLI
BENGALURU – 560 037
REPRESENTED BY
STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU – 560 001.
2. SMT. NIBEDITA DAS
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS
RICH HOMES APARTMENT
ITI LAYOUT, 27TH CROSS
SECTOR-2, HSR LAYOUT
BENGALURU - 560 102.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI B.N.JAGADEESHA, ADDL. SPP FOR R1;
SRI ASHWIN JOYSTON KUTINA, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S 482 CR.P.C (U/S 528 BNSS)
PRAYING TO QUASH THE FIR IN CR.NO.112/2024 REGISTERED
BY HAL P.S., PENDING BEFORE THE XXIX ACMM COURT, MAYO
HALL, BANGALORE, VIDE ANNEXURE-A, FOR THE OFFENCES
P/U/S 498(A), 506, 406, 420 R/W 34 OF IPC AGAINST THE
PETITIONERS.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NAGAPRASANNA**

ORAL ORDER

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 files a memo indicating that the parties to the *lis* have resolved their matrimonial dispute and respondent No.2 is not interested in pursuing the proceedings.

2. The memo reads as follows:

"MEMO

The Respondent No.2 in the aforementioned petition submits that a complaint was filed against the Petitioners, who are the husband & parents -in-law of the Respondent No.2 and consequently a FIR in Crime a No. 112/2024 was registered by the Respondent No.1 police.

That the Petitioners No.1 & Respondent No.2 have resolved their dispute and therefore the she does not wish to pursue the criminal proceedings against the Petitioners."

In terms of the memo, the criminal petition is disposed as settled out of the Court.

I.A.No.1/2026 is also disposed, as a consequence.

**SD/-
(M.NAGAPRASANNA)
JUDGE**