



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 27<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF MARCH, 2026**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 9601 OF 2026 (LB-BMP)**

**BETWEEN:**

1. SRI. P.YOGENDRANATH  
S/O LATE P. LAKSHMANA MURTHY  
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS  
R/AT OLD NO.13, NEW NO.40  
MAGADI CHORD ROAD EXTENSION  
(mrcr EXTENSION) 20<sup>TH</sup> MAIN  
18<sup>TH</sup> CROSS, VIJAYANAGAR  
BENGALURU-560 040.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. HARIPRASAD Y., ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA  
REP. BY THE UNDER SECRETARY  
URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT  
KARNATAKA GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT  
VIAKAS SOUDHA, DR. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI  
BENGALURU-560 001.
2. THE JOINT COMMISSIONER  
BENGALURU WEST CITY PALIKE  
OFFICE OF THE JOINT COMMISSIONER  
DIVISION-II, MULTIPURPOSE BLDG.





19<sup>TH</sup> MAIN, 26 AND 27<sup>TH</sup> CROSS  
RAJAJINAGAR 2<sup>ND</sup> BLOCK  
BENGALURU - 560 010.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. SPOORTHY V., HCGP FOR R1;  
SRI. PAWAN KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE WRIT OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO APPLY THE NOTIFICATION NO.UDD 235 MNJ 2025 (E) DATED 05.01.2026 AT ANNEXURE-J IS APPLICABLE TO THE PETITIONER'S CASE RETROSPECTIVELY AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THROUGH PHYSICAL HEARING/VIDEO CONFERENCING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

### **ORAL ORDER**

1. On the ground that petitioner is putting up construction in violation of building bye-laws and the sanctioned plan, action has been issued against him by respondent no.2 (Greater Bangalore Authority).
2. The case of the petitioner is that, due to amended laws much of the construction that he intends to put up can be



saved and he intends to make an application for regularization of the deviations, if any.

3. Learned counsel for respondent no.2 is agreeable for the said submission.

4. Hence, the following:

**ORDER**

- (i) Petitioner is granted three weeks time from today to make the necessary application to respondent no.2;
- (ii) If such an application is made, respondent no.2 shall consider the same in accordance with law and pass appropriate orders;
- (iii) Till such a decision is taken, no precipitative action shall be initiated against the petitioner;
- (iv) Petitioner is also prohibited from putting up further construction on the property;



NC: 2026:KHC:17432  
WP No. 9601 of 2026

(v) The writ petition stands ***disposed of*** accordingly.

**Sd/-  
(M.I.ARUN)  
JUDGE**

PGG  
List No.: 1 SI No.: 6