



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO. 542 OF 2018

(397(Cr.PC) / 438(BNSS))

BETWEEN:

SRI. KAMAL KUMAR K
S/O. KUPPU SWAMY,
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS
R/AT. NO.6, 9TH MAIN ROAD,
KURUBARAHALLI, J.C. NAGAR,
NEAR AMBEDKAR COLLEGE,
BENGALURU - 560 086.
PERMANENTLY R/AT. NO.203,
2ND FLOOR, B.D.A. COMPLEX,
NANDINI LAYOUT, BENGALURU - 560 096.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. MOHAMED KHAN A, ADVOCATE)

AND:

SRI. NARASIMHA MURTHY
S/O. PUTTANARASIAH,
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
RESIDING AT: NO.83,
3RD MAIN ROAD,
MUNIRAMANNA BLOCK,
GANGANAGAR, R.T. NAGAR,
BENGALURU - 560 032.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. H. RAJANNA, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. G. JAIRAJ, ADVOCATE)





THIS CRL.RP IS FILED U/S.397 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER/JUDGMENT DATED 16.02.2018 PASSED BY LXI ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE, BANGALORE (CCH-62) IN CRL.A.NO.434/2016 FOR CONFIRMING THE JUDGMENT PASSED BY THE XII ADDL.C.M.M., BENGALURU IN C.C.NO.9682/2014 DATED 04.03.2016 FOR THE OFFENCE P/U/S 138 OF N.I. ACT AS PRAYED FOR IN THE COMPLAINT BY ALLOWING THIS CRL.RP. AND AWARD COSTS OF THE PROCEEDINGS.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

ORAL ORDER

1. Learned counsel for the revision petitioner submits that he has filed memo of retirement on 17.03.2026. Petitioner is present so also learned counsel for the respondent and respondent are present before the Court.

2. Joint memo is filed by the parties, signed by the parties and their respective Advocates.

3. Contents of the joint memo are read over to the parties. Petitioner submits that he has understood the contents of the joint memo and he has tendered sum of Rs.1,60,000/- today to the respondent. Same is acknowledged in the order sheet.



4. Placing the joint memo on record, revision petition stands ***disposed of.***

5. Amount in deposit is ordered to be withdrawn by the complainant under due identification.

6. It is made clear that if the compensation amount as agreed in the joint memo is not paid, revision petitioner shall undergo imprisonment as ordered by the learned Trial Magistrate confirmed by the First Appellate Court.

Sd/-
(V SRISHANANDA)
JUDGE

KAV
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 60