



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 8567 OF 2026 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

1. MR. JAGADISH M B
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS,
S/O LATE BYRAPPA,
RESIDING AT HAGADURU VILLAGE,
K. R. PURAM HOBLI,
BENGALURU EAST,
BENGALURU-560 066.
2. MR. KEMPEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS,
S/O LATE ANJINAPPA,
RESIDING AT HAGADURU VILLAGE,
K.R PURAM HOBLI,
BENGALURU EAST,
BENGALURU-560 066.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. DHANANJAY JOSHI, SR. ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. VACHAN H U., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
M.S. BUILDINGS,
BANGALORE-560 001,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.
2. THE TAHASILDAR
BENGALURU EAST TALUK,
K. R. PURAM,
BENGALURU-560 049.





3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
BENGALURU NORTH SUB-DIVISION,
BENGALURU-560 009.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. B. P. RADHA., AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT NO.2 TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATIONS SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONERS DATED 21.11.2025 (ANNX-D AND ANNX-E) AND CONSEQUENTLY DIRECT THE RESPONDENT NO.2 TO IMPLEMENT AND COMPLY WITH THE ORDER DATED 14.10.2022 PASSED BY THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER BENGALURU NORTH IN R.A.(BE) NO.551/2022 (ANNX-C) BY EFFECTING MUTATION OF THE REVENUE RECORDS IN RESPECT OF THE SCHEDULE PROPERTY IN THE NAMES OF THE PETITIONERS FORTHWITH AND WITHIN A TIME FRAME TO BE FIXED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate takes notice for the respondents.

2. Learned Senior Counsel Sri Dhananjay Joshi appearing for the petitioners submits that 3 acres of land in Survey No.16 of Hagaduru Village, K.R.Puram Hobli,



Bangalore East Taluk was granted in favour of Sri Byrappa and another 3 acres of land in the name of Sri Anjanappa, the father of the petitioners herein and saguvali chits were also issued in the year 1945. However, since the computerized RTC were not continued in the name of the original grantees, the petitioners filed an appeal before the Assistant Commissioner, Bangalore North Sub-Division in RA (BE) No.551/2022 and the Assistant Commissioner passed an order on 14.10.2022 stating that he has perused the certified copy of the grant orders which indicated that the lands were indeed granted in favour of Sri Byrappa and Sri Anjanappa. Accordingly, directions were issued to the Tahsildar to accept the khata and enter the names of the original grantees in the computerised RTC. Nevertheless, the Tahsildar has not complied with the directions issued by the Assistant Commissioner. Therefore, the prayer in the writ petition is to issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondent - Tahsildar to proceed to enter the names of the original grantees in the



computerized RTC in terms of the directions issued by the Assistant Commissioner.

3. Learned Additional Government Advocate submits on instructions that the State is contemplating to challenge the order of the Assistant Commissioner.

4. Having regard to the contentions of the petitioners and the fact that the order passed by the Assistant Commissioner on 14.10.2022 has remained unchallenged till date, the Tahsildar is duty bound to comply with the directions issued by the Assistant Commissioner.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition stands ***disposed of*** with a direction to the respondent No.2 – Tahsildar, Bengaluru East Taluk, to proceed to enter the names of the original grantees as directed by the Assistant Commissioner in proceedings bearing No.RA(BE) No.551/2022, as expeditiously as possible and at any rate



within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. Learned Additional Government Advocate is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.

**Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE**

KLY
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 33