



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 16TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 8719 OF 2022 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. S. PUSHPA
W/O LATE N CHINNAPPA RAJU
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
RESIDING AT NO.523
5TH CROSS, MAHALAKSHMI LAYOUT
BENGALURU-560086.
2. SRI N C PRABHAKAR
AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS
SON OF LATE N CHINNAPPA RAJU
NO.S.4 VAIBHAV SNEHA BREEZE
YESHWANTHAPURA INDUSTRIAL SUBURB
BENGALURU-560022.
3. SMT. P. ANITHA
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS
WIFE OF LATE N VASUDEV
NO.S.4 VAIBHAV SNEHA BREEZE
YESHWANTHAPURA INDUSTRIAL SUBURB
BENGALURU-560022.
4. SRI N V HARSHA
AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS
SON OF LATE N VASUDEV
NO.S.4 VAIBHAV SNEHA BREEZE
YESHWANTHAPURA INDUSTRIAL SUBURB



BENGALURU-560022.

5. SRI N V DHANUSH
AGED ABOUT 24 YEARS
SON OF LATE N VASUDEV
NO.S.4 VAIBHAV SNEHA BREEZE
YESHWANTHAPURA INDUSTRIAL SUBURB
BENGALURU-560022.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. RAMESH R., ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. V B SHIVA KUMAR, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
VIDHANA SOUDHA
DR AMBEDKAR ROAD
BENGALURU-560001.
REPRESENTED BY PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
PWD DEPARTMENT
2. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
NO.2 BUILDING DIVISION
PWD, K R CIRCLE
BENGALURU-560048.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. MAHANTESH SHETTAR, AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226
AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO
DIRECT THE RESPONDENT AUTHORITIES TO PAY ADMITTED
PAYMENT ADMITTED IN THE WORK DONE ENDORSEMENT
WHICH IS AT ANNEXURE-D IN TERMS OF THE CERTIFICATE



DATED 08.1.2011 DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO DISCHARGE THE BILL AMOUNT AT RS.1,12,35,448/- (RUPEES ONE CRORE TWELVE LAKHS THIRTY FIVE THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED AND FORTY EIGHT).

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR FINAL HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondents.

2. In this writ petition, the petitioners have sought for writ of mandamus to the respondent-authorities to pay admitted payment in the work done Endorsement as per Annexure- D in terms of the Certificate dated 08.01.2011.

3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties.

4. Having taken note of the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties and



taking into consideration the claim made by the petitioners which is based on the work done Certificate dated 08.01.2011 (Annexure-D), it is noted that more than 11 years have elapsed since the filing of the writ petition and as such, following the law declared by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***State of Orissa and another vs. Mamata Mohanty*** reported in ***AIR 2011 SCW 1132***, the writ petition does not survive for consideration. Accordingly, the writ petition is ***dismissed.***

SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

SB
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 14