



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 8213 OF 2026 (CS-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. GANTAGOWDANAHALLI MILK PRODUCERS
CO - OPERATIVE SOCIETY LTD.,
MANDYA TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT
REPRESENTED BY ITS
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
SRI. KRISHNEGOWDA.
2. DODDABANASAWADI MILK PRODUCERS
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY LTD.,
MANDYA TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT
REPRESENTED BY ITS
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
SRI.NAGARAJU.B.S.
PETITIONER NO.1 AND 2 REGISTERED
UNDER CO-OPERATIVE SOCITIES ACT.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. NATARAJU T., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
DEPARTMENT OF CO-OPERATION
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY





M.S BUILDING, BENGALURU-560 001.

2. THE DIRECTOR OF CO-OPERATIVE AUDIT,
NO.17, JAYANIVAS
SHANKAR MUTT ROAD,
BASAVANGUDI,
BENGALURU-560004.
3. THE JOINT DIRECTOR OF CO-OPERATIVE AUDIT
VIDYA GANAPATI TEMPLE ROAD,
VIDYANAGAR,
MANDYA DISTRICT-571401.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. YOGESH D. NAIK, AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE IMPUGNED CIRCULAR DATED 05.02.2026 BEARING NO. LEPASHA/ETHARE/2025-26 LEPANE/080/2025-26 ISSUED BY THE R2 DIRECTOR OF AUDIT OF COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES VIDE ANNEX-C AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN B-GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH



ORAL ORDER

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties.

2. In this petition, petitioners are assailing a Circular dated 05.02.2026 (Annexure-C) issued by respondent No.2 and the Notices dated 10.02.2026 (Annexure-D and D1) respectively issued by respondent No.3 *inter-alia* sought for direction to respondent No.3 to consider the representations dated 05.03.2026 (Annexure-E and E1) respectively submitted by the petitioner-Societies.

3. Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties, the impugned Notices at Annexure-D and D1 have been replied by the petitioner-Societies herein as per Annexure-E and E1 by reply dated 05.03.2026 and same has not been considered by respondent No.3. In the circumstances of the matter, I am of the view that respondent No.3 be directed to consider the reply dated 05.03.2026 by the petitioner-Societies produced at Annexure-E and E1 respectively within an outer limit of two months from the date of receipt of this order.



4. It is also made clear that till the consideration of the reply produced at Annexure-E and E1 by respondent No.3, respondent-State is directed not to take any precipitative action against the petitioner-Societies.

With this observation, the writ petition is disposed of.

However, it is open for the petitioner-Societies to challenge the Circular referred to above in the event if the petitioner-Societies suffer an order at the hands of the respondents.

Sd/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

AP
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 68