



HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:17460
WP No. 8208 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 8208 OF 2026 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

RAMAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 79 YEARS,
S/O BAYANNA,
R/O TIRUMALASETTIHALLI VILLAGE,
ANUGONDANAHALLI HOBLI,
HOSAKOTE TALUK,
BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT
BANGALORE - 560 067.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI NARENDRA S., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
VIKASA SOUDHA,
BANGALORE 560 001
REP. BY PRL. SECRETARY.
2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE SOUTH SUB DIVISION,
BANGALORE - 560 009.
3. THE TAHSILDAR,
HOSAKOTE TALUK,
BANGALORE SOUTH TALUK,
BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT - 562 110.
4. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT
DISTRICT OFFICE COMPLEX,
DEVANAHALLI TALUK,





BEERASANDRA VILLAGE
BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT - 562 110.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI SHAMANTH NAIK, HCGP)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE WRIT OF CERTIORARI BY QUASHING THE ORDER / ENDORSEMENT DATED 26-2-2026 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.3 IN THHSK-RRTORRTA/88/2025 PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-A BY HOLDING THAT THE SAME IS ILLEGAL AND OPPOSED TO LAW AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION IS COMING ON FOR FRESH MATTERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned HCPG takes notice for all the respondents.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is directed towards the impugned Endorsement at Annexure-A issued by the respondent No.3-Tahasildar, Hosakote Taluk.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner filed OS No.253/1996 seeking declaration and perpetual injunction against his vendor G.S.Gopal Rao (since dead, represented by his legal representatives). Although the suit was dismissed by the trial Court, nevertheless the first



Appellate Court reversed the judgment of the trial Court and declared that the plaintiff is the owner of the suit schedule property viz., 1/4th share in Sy.No.88 of Thirumalashettihalli Village. The Regular Second Appeal filed by the defendants in RSA No.1022/2018 was dismissed on 22.02.2024 and thereafter, the SLP No.25507/2024 filed by the defendants was also dismissed on 13.02.2025. Thereafter, the petitioner filed an application before the Tahasildar. The Tahasildar has noticed all the proceedings and accepted the fact that the petitioner has been declared the owner of the petition schedule property. Nevertheless, the Tahasildar has stated in the Endorsement that the matter was sent to the Deputy Commissioner for appropriate orders and directions and no directions are issued by the Deputy Commissioner and therefore, the application has been disposed of.

4. Learned counsel further submits that the Tahasildar could not have issued the impugned Endorsement merely because appropriate directions were not issued by the Deputy Commissioner. Learned counsel submits that it is the duty of the Deputy Commissioner to have issued necessary directions



to comply with the judgment and decree passed by the competent Civil Court.

5. There is substance in the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. The writ petition is accordingly ***disposed of*** while setting aside the impugned Endorsement at Annexure-A issued by the Tahsildar, with a specific direction to the respondent No. 4-Deputy Commissioner, Bengaluru Rural District, to forthwith issue necessary directions to enable the Tahasildar to proceed and enter the name of the petitioner in the revenue records in terms of the declaration made by the competent Civil Court, and upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The entire exercise shall be completed as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE