



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 7886 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

VASU D
S/O DHARMA RAJU
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS
R/A 19, 4TH C CROSS,
2ND MAIN ROAD, NEAR ORCHIDS
INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL
MAHALAKSHMI LAYOUT,
BENGALURU-560086.

...PETITIONER

(BY SMT. KANAKAMAHALAKSHMI., ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. CHETHAN A C., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP BY ITS PRL. SECRETARY
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
VIKASA SOUDHA
BENGALURU-560001.
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT
DC OFFICE, SIDLAGHATTA ROAD,
CHIKKABALLAPUR-562101.





3. THE ADDITIONAL DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHIKKABALLAPUR DISTRICT
DC OFFICE, SIDLAGHATTA ROAD
CHIKKABALLAPUR-56101.
4. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
CHIKKABALLAPUR SUB DIVISION
DC OFFICE, SIDLAGHATTA ROAD
CHIKKABALLAPUR-56101.
5. THE TAHSILDAR
BAGEPALLI TALUK
TALUK OFFICE, BAGEPALLI-561207
CHIKKABALLAPUR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.V.SESHU., HCGP)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE UNDATED ENDORSEMENT ISSUED BY THE R2 (ANNX-A) AND UNDATED ENDORSEMENT ISSUED BY THE R3 (ANNX-B) REJECTING THE CONVERSION APPLICATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER DATED 01.09.2025 (WRONGLY SHOWN AS 1.09.2026) BEARING NO. 825050 AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS



ORAL ORDER

The petitioner is aggrieved of the impugned endorsement at Annexure 'A' issued by the Deputy Commissioner, Chikkaballapura District rejecting the application for conversion filed under Section 95 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act.

2. On the previous occasion, since it was pointed out from the impugned endorsement that no date is forthcoming, this Court had directed the learned HCGP to secure instructions from the Deputy Commissioner as to the date when which the impugned endorsements were issued.

3. Today, the learned HCGP has filed a memo along with copy of the impugned endorsement and submits the date is in fact given in the endorsement order as 07.02.2026. The application was filed on 09.01.2026 and therefore the endorsement is issued within the time prescribed in the provision. Therefore, if the petitioner is



aggrieved of the rejection of the application, then the remedy is available before the Karnataka Revenue Appellate Tribunal.

4. In that view of the matter, the petition stands ***disposed of***, reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach the Karnataka Revenue Appellate Tribunal to redress the grievance of the petitioner.

**Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE**

JT/-
CT: JL