



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV

WRIT PETITION NO. 7934 OF 2026 (LA-KIADB)

BETWEEN:

RAMANJINIAH
W/O. LATE BYLAPPA
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
R/AT NO.47, 11TH C CROSS,
RAJAGOPAL NAGAR, PEENYA
BENGALURU-560 058.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SAMPATH A.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
DEPT. OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR VEEDI,
BENGALURU-560 001.
2. KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL AREA
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
GANDHINAGAR 1ST MAIN,
BENGALURU-560 009.
REP. BY CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
3. SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER-2
NO.39, SHANTHI GRUHA,
BHARATH SCOUTS AND GUIDES BUILDING
4TH FLOOR, PALACE ROAD
BENGALURU-560 001.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. A.S. HARISH., AGA FOR R1;





SRI. VASANTH., ADVOCATE FOR R2 & R3)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, QUASHING THE GENERAL AWARD BEARING NO KIADB/BHUUSVAA-2/2425/21-22 DATED 30.08.2021 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.3 VIDE ANNEXURE-A IN SO FAR AS PETITIONER CONCERNED AND ETC.

THIS WRIT PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.1. Learned counsel Sri. Vasanth accepts notice for respondent Nos.2 and 3. The matter is taken up for disposal by consent.

2. The petitioner has sought for issuance of writ of certiorari to set aside the general award dated 30.08.2021 passed by Respondent No.3 vide Annexure-A insofar as the petitioner's lands are concerned and the petitioner has also sought for a direction to the respondents to consider the request of the petitioner for passing of consent award in terms of Section 29(2) of the Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Act, 1966 (for short KIAD Act).



3. The case of the petitioner is that he was willing for a consent award and accordingly, the question of passing of general award does not arise.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent-Karnataka Industrial Area Development Authority/Board (KIADB) submits that the petition itself could be disposed of while setting aside the general award insofar as the petitioner is concerned and the respondent-Special Land Acquisition Officer-2, would consider the request of the petitioner and pass a consent award as per law.

5. In light of the said stand, taking note of the directions passed in W.P.No.15026/2021, the petition is disposed of as follows:

- i) The petition is allowed.
- ii) The impugned general award dated 30.08.2021 passed by Respondent No.3 at Annexure-A insofar as the petitioner's land is concerned, is set aside.
- iii) Respondent No.3 is directed to consider the claim of the petitioner for passing of consent award and pass a consent award in terms of



Section 29(2) of the KIAD Act, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

6. It is made clear that, in the event if there is any dispute with regard to entitlement and apportionment of the award amount, the general award at Annexure-A would stand revived.

7. Liberty is reserved to the Special Land Acquisition Officer, KIADB to withdraw the amount, if deposited before the Civil Court pursuant to the general award.

8. Accordingly, the petition is ***disposed of*** in terms of the above.

SD/-
(S SUNIL DUTT YADAV)
JUDGE

KTY
List No.: 3 Sl No.: 10