



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. NATARAJ

WRIT PETITION NO. 7274 OF 2021 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. MUNDAMADA K AIYANNA
S/O LATE KALAPPA M A,
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS,
2. VIDYA C.P.
W/O MUNDAMADA K AIYANNA,
AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS,
3. MUNDAMADA K PARVATI
W/O LATE MUNDAMADA K KALAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 72 YEARS,

ALL PETITIONERS
R/AT CHINNAMMAS HOUSE,
KAVERI HILLS, 6TH CROSS,
GONIKOPPAL, PONNAMPET TALUK,
KODAGU DISTRICT-571213

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. SACHIN B S., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
GONIKOPPA POLICE STATION,
REPRESENTED BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BANGALORE-01.
2. SMT. MUNDAMADA P. DECCHAMMA
W/O LATE M.K. PONNANNA,
AGED ABOUT 24 YEARS,
R/AT ST. THOMAS ROAD,

Digitally
signed by
HEMALATHA
J
Location:
HIGH COURT
OF
KARNATAKA



GONIKOPPAL, VIRAJPET TALUK,
KODAGU DISTRICT-571213.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. MOHD. AYUB ALI, ADDL. STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR FOR
RESPONDENT NO.1;

SRI. PRAVEEN S.L., ADVOCATE FOR SRI. M.H.HANEEF, ADVOCATE
FOR RESPONDENT NO.2)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA READ WITH SECTION 482 OF THE CODE
OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, 1973 PRAYING TO QUASH ENTIRE
PROCEEDINGS IN C.C.NO.354/2020 ON THE FILE OF THE CIVIL
JUDGE (JN.DN.) AND JMFC, PONNAMPET REGISTERED FOR THE
OFFENCE PUNISHABLE UNDER SECTION 498A, 506 R/W 34 OF IPC
AND SECTION 3 AND 4 OF DOWRY PROHIBITION ACT AS PER
ANNEXURE-A IN SO FAR AS PETITIONERS.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN
'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. NATARAJ

ORAL ORDER

The petitioners have challenged the prosecution launched
against them in C.C No.354/2020 pending trial before the Civil
Judge (Jr.Dn) and JMFC, Ponnampet for offences punishable
under Sections 498A, 506 read with Section 34 of IPC and
Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961.

2. When this petition is listed for admission,
Sri.S.L.Praveen, learned counsel appearing for Sri.M.H.Haneef,



learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the parties have settled their disputes amicably.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a suit in O.S No.15/2023 was filed by the daughter of respondent No.2 for partition and separate possession of her share in the suit properties and that the parties have settled the said suit in terms of which, respondent No.2 has agreed to withdraw all cases pending before all Courts including C.C No.354/2020.

4. In this regard, the learned counsel for the petitioners has filed a memo along with the related documents. The memo and the documents are taken on record.

5. A perusal of the above documents would indicate that the respondent No.2 has specifically agreed in O.S No.15/2023 that she would withdraw C.C No.354/2020 and Cr.M.C No.136/2022, registered by the respondent No.1.

6. In that view of the matter, the petition is ***allowed***. The prosecution launched against the petitioners in C.C No.354/2020 pending trial before the Civil Judge (Jr.Dn) and JMFC, Ponnampet registered for offences punishable under



**NC: 2026:KHC:16679
WP No. 7274 of 2021**

Sections 498A, 506 read with Section 34 of IPC and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 is quashed.

**Sd/-
(R. NATARAJ)
JUDGE**

UN
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 46