



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 6588 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. MAHADEVAMMA
W/O. LATE SHIVANANJAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
R/AT ATTIKUPPA VILLAGE,
MARALAWADI HOBLI,
PRESENTLY HAROHALLI TALUK,
EARLIER KANAKAPURA TALUK,
RAMANAGAR DISTRICT-562112.
(NOW BENGALURU SOUTH DISTRICT)

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. PRADEEP G C., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
M.S. BUILDING,
DR. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU 560 001,
REPRESENTED BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY.
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
RAMANAGARA-562159
BENGALURU SOUTH DISTRICT).





3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
RAMANAGARA SUB-DIVISION
RAMANAGARA-562159
(NOW BENGALURU SOUTH DISTRICT).
4. THE TAHSILDAR,
HAROHALLI TALUK
HAROHALLI-562112
BENGALURU SOUTH DISTRICT.
5. THE REVENUE INSPECTOR
MARALAVADI HOBLI,
HAROHALLI TALUK-562 112,
BENGALURU SOUTH DISTRICT.
6. SRI. PUTTAIAH @ NANJAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS,
S/O. HONNAPPA @ HONNEGOWDA
R/AT ATTIKUPPE VILLAGE,
MARALAWADI HOBLI
PRESENTLY HAROHALLI TALUK
EARLIER KANAKAPURA TALUK
BENGALURU SOUTH DISTRICT-562112

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SHAMANTH NAIK., ADVOCATE)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO CALL FOR THE RECORDS FROM THE 2ND RESPONDENT IN R.P.NO.84/2025 AND ISSUE A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI OR ANY OTHER WRIT OR ORDER QUASHING/SET-ASIDE THE ORDER DATED PASSED BY 16.10.2025 IN R.P.No.84/2025 PASSED BY THE IN SECOND RESPONDENT PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-A TO THE WRIT PETITION AND ETC.



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned High Court Government Pleader takes notice for respondents No.1 to 5. Notice to respondent No.6 is not necessary for the following reasons.

2. Learned High Court Government Pleader raises a preliminary objection that having regard to the amendment brought to Section 136(1) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964, w.e.f., 10.01.2025, the petitioner will have to approach the Karnataka Revenue Appellate Tribunal questioning the impugned order passed by the Deputy Commissioner under Section 136(3) of the Act.

3. Upholding the submissions made by the learned High Court Government Pleader, the writ petition stands



dismissed while reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal.

4. Learned High Court Government Pleader is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.

Office is hereby directed to return the original/certified copies to the petitioner while retaining a copy of the same for the file.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

rv
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 21