



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 6589 OF 2026 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. VENKATESHAPPA
S/O. NAREPPA,
AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS,
R/AT KURATAHALLI, KASABA HOBLI,
CHINTAMANI TALUK,
CHIKKABALLAPUR DISTRICT-563125.

...PETITIONER

(BY SMT. S. AISHWARYA, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. RAJESWARA P.N., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
MULTISTORIED BUILDING
DR. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI
BENGALURU-560 001.
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
CHIKKABALLAPUR SUB-DIVISION,
CHIKKABALLAPUR-562101
3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
CHIKKABALLAPUR SUB-DIVISION,
CHIKKABALLAPUR-562101.
4. THE TAHSILDAR,
CHINTAMANI TALUK,
CHIKKABALLAPUR-563125





5. THE SHIRESTEDAR,
CHINTAMANI TALUK,
CHIKKABALLAPUR-563125.
6. THE REVENUE INSPECTOR,
KASABA HOBLI,
CHINTAMANI TALUK-563125.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. NAVYA SHEKHAR, AGA)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE ORDER DATED 15.10.2024 AT ANNEX-F PASSED BY THE R3 BY ENTERING THE NAME OF THE PETITIONER IN THE COMPUTERIZED RTC PERTAINING TO LAND BEARING SY. NO.3 OF KURATAHALLI VILLAGE, KASABA HOBLI, CHINTAMANI TALUK MEASURING 30 GUNTAS AS PER THE REPRESENTATION DATED 25.10.2024 (ANNEX-A) MADE BY THE PETITIONER TO R4.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate takes notice for all the respondents.

2. It is the contention of the petitioner that 30 guntas of land in Sy.No.3 of Kuratahalli Village, Kasaba Hobli, Chintamani Taluk, Chikkaballapura District was



granted in favour of the petitioner under the Karnataka Land Grant Rules, 1969, in a public auction, pursuant to the grant a saguvali chit was also issued on 21.09.1994 as found at Annexure-B. The name of the petitioner was mutated in the revenue records in terms of M.R.No.49-27/1997-98. However, after computerization the name of the petitioner was not continued in the revenue records.

3. The prayer in the writ petition is to issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondent-Tahsildar to continue the name of the petitioner in the computerized RTC, infact the petitioner also filed an appeal before the Assistant Commissioner in proceedings bearing No.R.A(Chin)325/2024-25 and the Assistant Commissioner passed an order on 15.10.2024 directing the Tahsildar to mutate and continue the name of the petitioner in the computerized RTC, nevertheless, the Tahsildar has not complied with the directions issued by the Assistant Commissioner.



4. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4-Tahsildar, Chintamani Taluk, to proceed and enter the name of the petitioner in the revenue records and continue the same in the computerized rtc, in terms of the directions issued by the Assistant Commissioner in proceedings bearing No. R.A(Chin)325/2024-25 as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Learned Additional Government Advocate is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE