



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN SHANKAR MAGADUM

WRIT PETITION NO. 6427 OF 2026 (GM-KIADB)

BETWEEN:

MR.G.L.REDDY @ G. LALANATHA REDDY
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS,
S/O SRI GOVINDA REDDY,
RESIDING AT NO.1, WHITE HOUSE,
BABUSABARAPALYA, HORAMAVU AGARA ROAD,
KALYAN NAGAR, BENGALURU - 560043.

REPRESENTED BY HIS GPA HOLDERS:

1. SRI T. KIRAN KUMAR,
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO.65/6, 65/7,
SAI BRINDAVAN, NALLURAHALLI,
NEAR MYFAIRS APARTMENTS,
BENGALURU - 560066.
2. SMT. K. ARCHANA,
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS,
W/O MR. K. RAJENDER,
RESIDING AT NO.1-8-31,
SEETHARAMAPURAM, BOWENPALLY,
TIRUMALAGIRI, HYDERABAD - 500011.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. V MANJUNATHA.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL AREAS
DEVELOPMENT BOARD

Digitally
signed by
CHAITHRA A
Location:
HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
14/3, 2ND FLOOR, R.P. BUILDINGS,
NRUPATUNGA ROAD, BENGALURU - 560001.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. H N VASUDEVAN, STANDING COUNSEL)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TOWHEREFORE, THE PETITIONER PRAYS FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO CONSIDER THE OBJECTIONS MADE BY THE PETITIONER VIDE ANNEXURE-J AND FOR SUCH OTHER RELIEFS, WRIT DIRECTIONS, DECLARATIONS AND ORDERS AS THIS HONBLE COURT MAY DEEM FIT TO GRANT INCLUDING THE COSTS OF THIS PETITION.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN SHANKAR MAGADUM

ORAL ORDER

The captioned writ petition is filed complaining of inaction on the part of the respondents in not considering the objections submitted by the petitioners pursuant to the preliminary notification issued under Section 28(1) of the Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Board Act, 1966. It is the specific grievance of the petitioners that though detailed objections were filed, inter alia contending that the extent of land held by them has not been properly



reflected in the preliminary notification and raising other objections touching upon the validity of the acquisition, the same have not been considered by the competent authority.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent–authority, on instructions, submits that the objections filed by the petitioners would be considered expeditiously, if reasonable time is granted.

3. Recording the aforesaid submission, this Court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

(i) The writ petition is ***allowed***;

(ii) The respondent–authority is directed to consider and examine the objections submitted by the petitioners, as evidenced at Annexure–J, in accordance with law;



(iii) The aforesaid exercise shall be completed within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
(SACHIN SHANKAR MAGADUM)
JUDGE

CA
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 66