



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 6394 OF 2026 (KLR-REG)

BETWEEN:

V J RAMEGOWDA
S/O SRI JAWAREGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS,
R/AT. VALAGEREMENASU VILLAGE,
KASABA HOBLI, KRISHNARAJAPETE TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT, MANDYA-571426.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. UDAYK.S., ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. C M NAGABUSHANA., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
M S BUILDING, AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BANGALORE- 560001.
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.
MANDYA DISTRICT,
MANDYA 571401.
3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
KRISHNARAJA PETE TALUK,
AC OFFICE, KR PETE-571426.
4. THE TAHASILDAR,
KRISHNARAJA PETE TALUK,
KRISHNARAJANAGARA-571602.





5. COMMITTEE FOR REGULARIZATION OF
UNAUTHORIZED OCCUPATION,
KR PETE TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT-571426.
BY ITS SECRETARY.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.V.SESHU., HCGP)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT IN THE
NATURE OF MANDAMUS OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT
OR ORDER, DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT AUTHORITIES TO
CONSIDER THE APPLICATION ANNEX-A FILED UNDER FORM
NO.50 OF KARNATAKA LAND REVENUE ACT, SEEKING
REGULARIZATION OF HIS OCCUPATION IN RESPECT OF
PETITION SCHEDULE PROPERTY AS IDENTIFIED UNDER
ANNEXURE D AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY
HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned High Court Government Pleader accepts
notice for all the respondents.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that he is in
occupation of 1 Acre 5 Guntas of land in Sy.179 of
Valageremenasa village, Kasaba Hobli, Krishnarajapete



Taluk, Mandya District, from several years. The grievance of the petitioner is that his application filed in Form No.50 seeking regularization of unauthorised occupation in the year 1991, has not been considered till date. Therefore, the prayer in the petition is to direct the respondents to consider the said application.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court to the Annexures filed along with memorandum of writ petition and contends that consequent to the application filed by the petitioner, his statement has been recorded by the Revenue Inspector and the Revenue Inspector has submitted his report to the Tahsildar along with Mahazar. The sketch has also been prepared by the competent authority. Nevertheless, no further action has been taken.

4. Having regard to the contentions raised in the writ petition and submissions of the learned Counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the considered opinion that respondent-Tahsildar was duty bound to place the



application filed by the petitioner in Form No.50 before the Committee for Regularization of Unauthorized Occupation and the Committee was also duty bound to consider the application and pass necessary orders. That exercise not has been taken by the respondent-authorities till date.

5. Consequently, the writ petition stands ***disposed of*** with a specific direction to respondent No.4-Tahsildar, Krishnarajapete Taluk to verify from the records as to whether such application filed by the petitioner in the year 1991, is pending consideration. If it is found that such application was indeed filed by the petitioner and the application are yet to be considered, the respondent-Tahsildar is directed to place before respondent No.5-Committee, the application along with his recommendation within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Thereafter, respondent Committee shall consider the application and pass necessary orders in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of two months thereafter.



Ordered accordingly.

6. Learned High Court Government Pleader is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

DL
CT: JL