



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 6487 OF 2026 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

SMT. RANGAMMA R
W/O S R SADASHIVAIAH
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
R/A 119, G P RAJARATHNAM ROAD
GAVIPURAM, BENGALURU SOUTH
BENGALURU 560019.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. AMBARISH., ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. KEERTHI KRISHNA REDDY., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BENGALURU 560 001
REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BENGALURU
KANDHAYA BHAVAN, K R ROAD,
BENGALURU 560001.
3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
BENGALURU NORTH, BENGALURU
KANDHAYA BHAVAN, K R ROAD,
BENGALURU 560 001.





4. THE TAHASILDHAR
BENGALURU NORTH TALUK
BENGALURU -560001.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT.NAVYA SHEKHAR., AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE R4 TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION DATED 27.03.2025 AT ANNEX-A AND DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION AT ANNEX-A AND TO EFFECT ENTRY AND MUTATION OF THE NAME OF THE PETITIONER IN THE COMPUTERIZED RECORDS OF RIGHTS TENANCY AND CROPS 9RTC) FROM THE YEAR 2000 ONWARDS IN RESPECT OF LAND BEARING SY.NO.70 SITUATED AT SONDEKOPPA VILLAGE, DASANAPURA HOBLI, BENGALURU NORTH TALUK, BASED ON THE GRANT CERTIFICATE, SAGUVALI CHIT AND EARLIER MUTATION ENTRIES.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate takes notice for all the respondents.

2. It is the contention of the petitioner the respondent-Deputy Commissioner, Bengaluru Urban District, passed an order in LND (N)SR 42/1977-78, granting 1.00 Acres of land in favour of Sri.Sadashivaiah, the husband of the petitioner in Sy.No.70 of Sondekoppa



village, Dasanapura Hobli, Bengaluru North Taluk and Saguvali Chit was also issued on 09.01.1979. Thereafter, mutation entry was also made in favour of the petitioner's husband in M.R.No.17/1984-85. However, after computerization, the name of the petitioner's husband was not continued in the revenue records.

3. After hearing the learned Counsel for the petitioner, the learned Additional Government Advocate and on perusing the petition papers, this Court finds from the material on record that the name of the petitioner's husband was earlier entered in the land revenue records. However, if the Tahsildar is of the opinion that the petitioner's husband has not been granted land, nevertheless, it is the duty of the Tahsildar to secure the original Grant Register, Saguvali Chit Issue Register and other contemporaneous records to satisfy himself regarding the grant made in favour of the petitioner's husband. Moreover, there is no reason why the name of the petitioner's husband which was earlier entered in the



land revenue records has not been continued after computerization, the Tahsildar is duty bound to look into all these aspects and pass necessary orders.

4. Consequently, this writ petition stands ***disposed of*** with a specific direction to the respondent-Tahsildar, Bengaluru North Taluk, to consider the representation given by the petitioner and in the light of the observations made hereinabove, verify the original records in the presence of the petitioner and pass necessary orders to enter the name of the petitioner's husband in the computerized RTC and land revenue records as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

5. Learned Additional Government Advocate is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.

**Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE**

DL
CT: JL