

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU
[SRI VINOD H P VS. STATE OF KARNATAKA AND OTHERS]

23.02.2026

(VIDEO CONFERENCING / PHYSICAL HEARING)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

With a *passover* for the learned counsel for the respondents No.4 and 5, Sri R.S.Ravi, the learned Senior Counsel who appears on their behalf, proposes to justify the issuance of the impugned tender Notification which *prima facie* is in the teeth of this Court's order dated 28.01.2026 in W.P.No. 2268/2026 to maintain *status quo*. The question for consideration would be whether the concerned respondents could be permitted to give effect to the tender notification [*impugned in this petition*] that could be issued in the teeth of this Court's order to maintain *status quo* only because pleadings are completed in W.P.No.2268/2026 and the notification impugned therein is withdrawn.

This Court is of the view that all proceedings, even if the work order is issued, must be stayed and it is stayed accordingly. The fourth respondent shall file into this Court,

by the next date of hearing, an affidavit on due compliance.

With this direction, the office is directed to re-list this petition on **26.02.2026** in the ***Orders category***.

(B M SHYAM PRASAD)
JUDGE

nv

List No.: 2 Sl No.: 20