



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO. 5976 OF 2026 (GM-CPC)

BETWEEN:

1. SHIVAJYOTHI AND AMRUTH TOWNSHIPS
WELFARE ASSOCIATION (R)
NO.12, BLOCK-B, SHIVAJYOTHI LAYOUT,
DODDAHAGADE, KASABA HOBLI,
ANEKAL TALUK, BANGALORE,
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY,
MR.K.G.VALLABHA RAMU
S/O LATE K GUNDU RAO,
AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SHARATH S GOWDA., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SRI NARAYANAPPA
S/O CHIKKA VENKATA BHOVI,
AGED ABOUT 91 YEARS,
R/AT YELLAMMANAPALYA VILLAGE,
DODDA HAGADE DHAKALE,
KASABA HOBLI, ANEKAL TALUK,
BANGALORE DISTRICT-562 106.
2. SRI.LOKESH,
S/O SEENAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,
R/AT YELLAMMANAPALYA VILLAGE,
DODDA HAGADE DHAKALE,
KASABA HOBLI, ANEKAL TALUK,
BANGALORE DISTRICT-562 106.





3. SRI.G.VENKATASWAMY,
S/O GANGAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS,
R/AT YELLAMMANAPALYA VILLAGE,
DODDA HAGADE DHAKALE,
KASABA HOBLI, ANEKAL TALUK,
BANGALORE DISTRICT-562 106.
4. SRI.V.NARAYANA @ NARAYANAPPA,
S/O VENKATARAMU,
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS,
R/AT YELLAMMANAPALYA VILLAGE
DODDA HAGADE DHAKALE,
KASABA HOBLI, ANEKAL TALUK,
BANGALORE DISTRICT-562 106.
5. SRI.D.V.KRISHNAPPA,
S/O VENKATAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS,
R/AT YELLAMMANAPALYA VILLAGE
DODDA HAGADE DHAKALE,
KASABA HOBLI, ANEKAL TALUK,
BANGALORE DISTRICT-562 106.
6. SRI. VENKATESHAPPA @ VENKATAPPA,
S/O NOT KNOWN, AGED MAJOR,
R/AT YELLAMMANAPALYA VILLAGE
DODDA HAGADE DHAKALE,
KASABA HOBLI, ANEKAL TALUK,
BANGALORE DISTRICT-562 106.
7. SRI. NARAYANA,
S/O NOT KNOWN, AGED MAJOR,
R/AT YELLAMMANAPALYA VILLAGE,
DODDA HAGADE DHAKALE,
KASABA HOBLI, ANEKAL TALUK,
BANGALORE DISTRICT-562 106.
8. SRI. SEENA,
S/O NOT KNOWN,
AGED MAJOR,
R/AT YELLAMMANAPALYA VILLAGE,



DODDA HAGADE DHAKALE,
KASABA HOBLI, ANEKAL TALUK,
BANGALORE DISTRICT-562 106.

9. SRI. CHINAPPA,
S/O NOT KNOWN, AGED MAJOR, R/AT
YELLAMMANAPALYA VILLAGE, DODDA HAGADE
DHAKALE, KASABA HOBLI, ANEKAL TALUK,
BANGALORE DISTRICT-562 106.
10. SRI. VENKATESHA @ VENKATESHAPPA,
S/O NOT KNOWN, AGED MAJOR,
R/AT YELLAMMANAPALYA VILLAGE,
DODDA HAGADE DHAKALE,
KASABA HOBLI, ANEKAL TALUK,
BANGALORE DISTRICT-562 106.
11. SRI.SURESH,
S/O VENKATESHA, AGED MAJOR,
R/AT YELLAMMANAPALYA VILLAGE,
DODDA HAGADE DHAKALE,
KASABA HOBLI, ANEKAL TALUK,
BANGALORE DISTRICT-562 106.
12. GOVINDAPPA,
S/O NOT KNOWN, AGED MAJOR,
R/AT YELLAMMANAPALYA VILLAGE,
DODDA HAGADE DHAKALE,
KASABA HOBLI, ANEKAL TALUK,
BANGALORE DISTRICT-562 106.

...RESPONDENTS

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER DATED 11.02.2026 PASSED IN O.S NO.111/2026 BY THE COURT OF III ADDITIONAL CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC, ANEKAL, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT VIDE ANNEXURE-A AND CONSEQUENTLY GRANT AD-INTERIM ORDER OF EXPATE TEMPORARY INJUNCTION ON IA NO.1 IN O.S NO.111/2026 PENDING BEFORE THE COURT OF III ADDITIONAL CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC, ANEKAL, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed a memo dated 27.02.2026 stating that the petition may be disposed of as withdrawn, which reads as under:

"The Petitioner has Preferred above Writ Petition being aggrieved by non-grant of ex-prate temporary injunction on an application filed under order 39 Rule 1 and 2 before the court of III Additional Civil Judge and JMFC, Anekal, Bengaluru Rural district in O.S.No.111/2026. The suit was filed with an intention to restrain the Defendants who were non-other than the vendors of Bhaskar Reddy, who had formed the layout and distributed sites, their interference was on the premises that they have initiated PTCL proceedings. In spite of losing the PTCL proceedings the interference continued resulting in filing of the aforesaid suit. However, some of the site purchasers intended to put up constructions in the layout and the interim order of status quo operating in this Writ Petition is acting against their own interest. Accordingly at the persistence of the said site owners, the plaintiff/Petitioner Association does not wish to pursue this Writ Petition and it may be disposed off



as withdrawn. Hence, this memo may kindly be taken on record in the interest of justice and equity."

2. The aforesaid memo is taken on record.

3. In terms of the memo, the petition is ***disposed of*** as withdrawn.

**Sd/-
(S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR)
JUDGE**

VM
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 36