



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

WRIT PETITION NO. 5929 OF 2026 (LB-BMP)

BETWEEN:

KARNATAKA EDUCATION SOCIETY
HAVING ITS CORPORATE OFFICE AT
NO. 92. 2ND MAIN ROAD,
3RD BLOCK, 3RD PHASE,
BASAVESHWARANAGAR,
BENGALURU-560 079
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY
SRI. M MANJUNATH.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. MANJUNATHA S.V., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE CHIEF SECRETARY
STATE GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
AMBEDKAR VEEDI,
BENGALURU-560 001.
2. THE ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY
URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT,
GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA,
VIKASA SOUDHA,
AMBEDKAR VEEDI,
BENGALURU-560 001.





3. BRUHAT BENGALURU MAHANAGARA
PALIKE (BCC), BBMP, BANGALORE,
REPRESENTED BY ITS COMMISSIONER
HUDSON CIRCLE, BANGALORE-2.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. SPOORTHY V, HCGP FOR R1 AND R2;
SRI PAWAN KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUES A WRIT OF
MANDAMUS TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION DATED
24/2/2025 AND PASS APPROPRIATE ORDERS AS PER THE
BBMP AMENDED ACT. PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-A AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY
HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

ORAL ORDER

1. The petitioner is before this Court seeking for the
following reliefs:-

- i. *"Issues a writ of mandamus to consider the representation dated 24/2/2025 and pass appropriate orders as per the BBMP amended Act. produced at Annexure-A.*
- ii. *Pass any other Writ, Order, Direction, as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit in the circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice and equity."*



2. The grievance of the petitioner is that the representation submitted by the petitioner on 24.02.2025 at Annexure - A has not been considered by the respondents.
3. Whenever any representation has been submitted by a citizen to any authority, it is required that the said Representation be acted upon and necessary orders be passed within a reasonable period of time, either accepting or rejecting the Representation submitted, in accordance with law.
4. Needless to say, if an order of rejection is passed, the same would have to be accompanied by reasons. Neither having been done, I pass the following:

ORDER

- i. Writ petition is ***allowed***.
- ii. A mandamus is issued directing Respondent No.3 to consider the Representation of the Petitioner dated 24.02.2025 at Annexure-A within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.



- iii. Until orders are passed, the respondents are restrained from dispossessing the petitioner from the subject matter.
- iv. This Court has not expressed any opinion on the entitlement.

SD/-
(SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)
JUDGE

MH/-
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 8