



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV

WRIT PETITION NO. 5693 OF 2026 (LA-KIADB)

BETWEEN:

SRI. NARAYANABOVI
S/O. CHINNANABOVI,
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
R/AT. KORACHANNOOR VILLAGE,
BAVANAHALLI, KASABA HOBLI,
MALUR TALUK,
KOLAR - 563 130.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SHARAN N. MAJAGE, ADVOCATE)

AND:



1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY ITS SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BANGALORE - 560 001.
2. KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL AREAS DEVELOPMENT
BOARD,
REP. BY ITS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND
EXECUTIVE MEMBER,
KHANIJA BHAVANA, RACE COURSE ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 001.



3. THE SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER,
KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL AREAS DEVELOPMENT
BOARD,
NO.14/1, 1ST FLOOR,
ARAVINDA BHAVAN,
NRUPATUNGA ROAD,
BANGALORE - 560 001.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. A.S. HARISH, AGA FOR R1;
SRI. H.L. PRADEEP KUMAR, ADV. FOR R2 AND R3)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE
GENERAL AWARD BEARING NO.KIADB:LAQ:2306:2023-24
DATED: NIL PASSED BY RESPONDENT NO.3 IN RESPECT OF
LAND IN SY.NO.119/10 MEASURING 2 ACRES OF LAND
SITUATED AT BAVANAHALLI VILLAGE, KASABA HOBLI, MALUR
TALUK, KOLAR DISTRICT, IN SO FAR AS THE PETITIONER IS
CONCERNED, WHICH IS PRODUCED AS ANNEXURE-D ANE ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING,
THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV



ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.1. Learned counsel Sri. H.L. Pradeep Kumar accepts notice for respondents No.2 and 3.

2. The petitioner has sought for setting aside the general award stated to have been passed in respect of land in Survey No.119/10, measuring 2 acres of land situated at Bavanahalli Village, Kasaba Hobli, Malur Taluk, Kolar District.

3. It is the case of the petitioner that they seek for setting aside of the general award insofar as the petitioner is concerned as the petitioner is open to accept an award in terms of Section 29(2) of the Karnataka Industrial Area Development Act, 1966 (KIAD Act).

4. Learned counsel for the respondent - Karnataka Industrial Area Development Board (KIADB) submits that the petition itself could be disposed of while setting aside the general award insofar as the petitioner is concerned



and the respondent - Special Land Acquisition Officer, KIADB would consider the request of the petitioner and pass a consent award as per law.

5. In light of the said stand and taking note of the directions passed in W.P.No.15026/2021, the petition is disposed of as follows:

- (i) The impugned general award at Annexure-D insofar as the petitioner's land is concerned, is set aside.
- (ii) Respondent No.3 is directed to consider the claim of the petitioner for passing of consent award and pass a consent award in terms of Section 29(2) of the KIAD Act, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

3. It is made clear that, in the event if there is any dispute with regard to entitlement and apportionment of



the award amount, the general award at Annexure-D would stand revived.

4. Liberty is reserved to the Special Land Acquisition Officer, KIADB to withdraw the amount, if deposited before the Civil Court pursuant to the general award.

6. Accordingly, the petition is ***disposed of*** in terms of the above.

SD/-
(S SUNIL DUTT YADAV)
JUDGE

MCR