



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 5590 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. DODDATHIMMAIAH
S/O SANNAVENKATAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 82 YEARS,

2. GOVINDAIAH
S/O SANNAVENKATAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 80 YEARS,

VENKATESH
S/O SANNAVENKATAIAH,
SINCE DEAD BY LRS.

3. SMT. JAYAMMA
W/O LATE VENKATESHA,
AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS,

4. GOVINDA
S/O LATE VENKATESHA,
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS,

5. SMT. GOWRAMMA
D/O LATE VENKATESHA,
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,

6. SHIVARANGAIAH
S/O SANNAVENKATAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS,

ALL ARE AGRICULTURISTS,
RESIDENTS OF DODDAGUNI,





BELLURU HOBLI, NAGAMANGALA TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT-571 418.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. A.V. GANGADHARAPPA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MANDYA DISTRICT,
MANDYA-571 401.
2. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
PANDAVAPURA SUB-DIVISION,
PANDAVAPURA,
MANDYA DISTRICT-571 434.
3. TAHSILDAR
NAGAMANGALA TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT-571 432.
4. ANANTHARAJ
S/O LATE THAMMAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
RESIDENT OF DODDAGUNI,
BELLURU HOBLI,
NAGAMANGALA TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT-571 418.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. K.P. YOGANNA, AGA FOR R1 TO R3)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI QUASHING THE ORDER DATED 5/12/2023 PASSED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT IN NO.R.MISC (NA)125/2022-23 PRODUCED AS ANNEXURE-H AND ALL FURTHER ACTIONS IN PURSUANCE THEREOF AND TO RESTRAIN RESPONDENT NO.4 FROM TAKING FORCIBLE POSSESSION OF 2-00 ACRES OF LAND IN SY.NO.9/21 SITUATED IN DODDAGUNI VILLAGE, BELLURU HOBLI,



NAGAMANGALA TALUK, MANDYA DISTRICT, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate takes notice for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

2. Learned Additional Government Advocate has raised a preliminary objection that having regard to the amendment brought to Section 136(1) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (for short 'Act') w.e.f., 10.01.2025, the petitioner will have to approach the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal questioning the impugned order passed by the Deputy Commissioner under Section 136(3) of the Act.

3. Upholding the submissions made by the learned Additional Government Advocate, the writ petition stands ***dismissed*** while reserving liberty to the petitioner to



approach the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal. However, the respondents are hereby directed not to take any precipitative action for a period of four weeks to enable the petitioner to approach the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal.

4. Learned Additional Government Advocate is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.

5. Office to return the original documents/certified copies, if any, to the petitioner while retaining a copy of the same for the file.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE