



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 5742 OF 2026 (GM-POLICE)

BETWEEN:

SATYENDRAKUMAR GOYAL
AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS,
S/O TRILOKNATH
AUTHORIZED PARTNER OF
M/S. SAI SHRADHA REALTORS (R),
REGISTERED PARTNERSHIP FIRM,
G2, AKSHAT BUILDING,
RAM MANDIR ROAD,
BORIVALI EAST,
MUMBAI-400091.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. NISHIT KUMAR SHETTY.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA BY
STATION HOUSE OFFICER,
KANKANADY POLICE STATION,
MANGALORE,
REPRESENTED STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING,





BANGALORE-560001.

2. R. PURUSHOTHAM RAI,
S/O. HUKRAPPA RAI,
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS,
R/AT CENTRAL PARK APARTMENT,
FLAT NO.401,
BEHIND ABHARANA JEWELRY,
KADRI, SHIVABAGH,
MANGALURU-575002,
REPRESENTED BY DIRECTOR
RAI PROMOTORS (P) LTD.,

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. B. RAVINDRANATH, AGA FOR R1)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS OR ANY OTHER WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION, DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT NO.1/KANKANADY TOWN POLICE STATION TO EXPEDITE THE INVESTIGATION AND FILE FINAL REPORT IN CRIME NO.62/2019 FOR THE OFFENCES UNDER SECTION 406, 420, 471 AND 468 OF IPC AT EARLY.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD



ORAL ORDER

The petitioner's grievance is with the jurisdictional police not filing a report on completion of investigation despite FIR being registered in the month of June, 2019. Mr. Nishit Kumar Shetty, the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is constrained because as the first informant, he would have no recourse under the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 if jurisdictional police did not complete investigation in an expedited manner.

Be this as it may, the petition stands disposed of with Mr. B. Ravindranath, the learned Additional Government Advocate who accepts notice for the first respondent, submitting on instructions that the delay in completion of the investigation is because certain documents, which are relied upon the first informant, were sent for forensic examination and that the police proposes to file a final report.



When queried, the learned Additional Government Advocate submits that the report would be filed within two weeks.

This submission is taken on record and the petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(B M SHYAM PRASAD)
JUDGE

RB