



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO. 5656 OF 2026 (GM-CPC)

BETWEEN:

SRI. PURUSHOTTAM
AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS,
S/O. GALL HANUMAYYA,
RESIDING AT NO. 106,
VINAYAKA LAYOUT,
DASANAPURA VILLAGE,
TUMKUR ROAD,
BENGALURU-562 123.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. A. ABHINAV RAMANAND, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. ANIL KUMAR B.S., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. BANGALORE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (BDA)
(BDA),
NADAPRABHU KEMPEGOWDA LAYOUT DIVISION,
KUMARA PARK WEST, BENGALURU-560 020
REPRESENTED BY ITS COMMISSIONER.
2. BANGALORE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
(BDA),
NADAPRABHU KEMPEGOWDA LAYOUT DIVISION,
KUMARA PARK WEST,
BENGALURU-560 020.
REPRESENTED BY ITS EXECUTIVE ENGINEER.
3. BANGALORE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (BDA),
NADAPRABHU KEMPEGOWDA LAYOUT DIVISION,
KUMARA PARK WEST,
BENGALURU - 560 020,





REPRESENTED BY ITS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER.

...RESPONDENTS
(BY SRI. MURUGESH V. CHARATI, ADVOCATE FOR
CAVEATORS / RESPONDENTS)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER
DATED 12.02.2026 PASSED BY THE II ADD. CIVIL JUDGE,
BENGALURU RURAL, ON I.A.NO.2 IN O.S.NO.160/2025 AT
ANNEXURE-L, BY ALLOWING THE PRESENT WRIT PETITION
AND CONSEQUENTLY, ALLOW I.A.NO.2 FILED BY THE
PETITIONER IN O.S.NO.160/2025.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

In this petition, the petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

- “a. A Writ in the nature of Certiorari quashing the order dated 12.02.2026 passed by the II Add. Civil Judge, Bengaluru Rural, on I.A.No.2 in O.S.No.160/2025 at Annexure- 'L', by allowing the present Writ Petition and consequently, allow I.A.No.2 filed by the Petitioner in O.S.No.160/2025;*
- b. Grant costs;*
- C. Grant such other relief/s as this Hon'ble Court deems fit to grant in the circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice and equity.”*



2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents and perused the material on record.

3. A perusal of the material on record will indicate that the suit in O.S.No.160/2025 out of which the present petition arises, currently stands posted before the Trial Court on 09.06.2026. It is also a matter of record and an undisputed fact that the respondent-BDA, who has entered caveat in the present petition, is contesting the said suit as well as the application for temporary injunction filed by the petitioner-plaintiff on merits.

4. Under these circumstances, though several contentions have been urged by both sides in support of their respective claims, without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the rival contentions, I deem it just and appropriate to dispose of this petition by issuing certain directions to the Trial Court as well as to the parties.

5. In the result, I pass the following:

ORDER

(i) The petition is hereby disposed of.



- (ii) The Trial Court is directed to prepone/advance the suit from 09.06.2026 to 04.03.2026.
- (iii) On 04.03.2026, the respondents-defendants shall enter appearance, if not already entered, as on today and file written statement, objections, documents etc.
- (iv) Immediately upon the respondents-defendants entering appearance and filing written statement, objections, documents etc., the Trial Court shall consider and pass appropriate orders on I.A.No.2 for temporary injunction filed by the petitioner-plaintiff against the respondents-defendants within a period of two weeks from 04.03.2026.
- (v) It is further directed that without prejudice to the respective contentions urged by both sides, both parties shall maintain *status quo* in all respects, as on today, in relation to the suit schedule property, till disposal of I.A.No.2 by the Trial Court on merits and in accordance with law.



(vi) The Trial Court shall pass appropriate orders on I.A.No.2 without being influenced by either the order of *status quo* or by any findings, observations, etc., if any in the present order.

(vii) All rival contentions on all aspects of the matter are kept/left open and no opinion is expressed on merits/demerits of the rival contentions.

**Sd/-
(S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR)
JUDGE**