



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 5150 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. B R NEELAKANTAPPA
S/O. LATE S V RUDRAPPA
AGED ABOUT 72 YEARS
RESIDING AT BISILEHALLI VILLAGE
KADUR TALUK
CHIKKAMAGALURU - 577 116
2. VEERABHADRAPPA
S/O. LATE MAHADEVAPPA
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS
R/A. MAHADEVAPPA MARG CAMP
BIRUR TOWN, KADUR TALUK
CHIKKAMAGALURU - 577 116
3. HARISH
S/O. LATE MAHADEVAPPA
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS
R/A. MAHADEVAPPA MARG CAMP
BIRUR TOWN, KADUR TALUK
CHIKKAMAGALURU - 577 116



...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. SHEKAR L S, ADVOCATE)



AND:

1. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHIKKAMAGALURU DISTRICT
CHIKKAMAGALURU - 577 101
2. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
TARIKERE SUB DIVISION
TARIKERE TALUK
CHIKKAMAGALURU - 577 130
3. TAHASILDHAR
KADUR TALUK
CHIKKAMAGALURU -577 116
4. REVENUE INSPECTOR
KASABA HOBLI
KADUR TALUK
CHIKKAMAGALURU - 577 116
5. B N CHANDRAMOULI
S/O. LATE NANJUNDAIAH
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
6. B H CHANDRASHEKARA
S/O. LATE VEERABHADRAPPA
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
7. V NANJUNDAPPA
S/O. LATE VEERABHADRAPPA
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS

RESPONDENTS 5 TO 7 RESIDING AT
BISILEHALLI VILLAGE, KADUR TALUK
CHIKKAMAGALURU - 577 166

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. K.P. YOGANNA, AGA FOR R1 TO R4
SRI. P. NATARAJU, ADVOCATE FOR R5 TO 7)



THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE IMPUGNED ORDER DTD 6.11.2025 PASSED BY THE R-1 IN RP/59/2024 VIDE ANX-M, DIRECTING THE R-1 TO 4 TO RESTORE THE MUTATION ENTRY MR H/2021-22 AS PER THE ANNEX-G AND ENTER THE NAMES OF THE PETITIONER IN COLUMN NO. 9 AND 12 OF THE RTC AS PER ANX-H AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate takes notice for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

2. Learned Additional Government Advocate has raised a preliminary objection that having regard to the amendment brought to Section 136(1) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964, w.e.f., 10.01.2025, the petitioners will have to approach the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal questioning the impugned order passed by the Deputy Commissioner under Section 136(3) of the Act.

3. Upholding the submissions made by the learned Additional Government Advocate, the writ petition stands ***dismissed*** while reserving liberty to the petitioner to



approach the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal. However, the respondents are hereby directed not to take any precipitative action for a period of four weeks to enable the petitioner to approach the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal.

4. Learned Additional Government Advocate is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.

5. Office to return the original documents/certified copies, if any, to the petitioners while retaining a copy of the same for the file.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

SSD
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 19