



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.G.S. KAMAL

WRIT PETITION NO.4887 OF 2023 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. J.P. RAVISHANKAR
S/O LATE PRAMASHIVAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS.
2. SRI. S. UMAPATHI
S/O M.R. THIPPESWAMY,
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS.
3. SRI. P.V. SUNIL KUMAR
S/O LATE M.P. UMAPATHI
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS.
ALL ARE RESIDENTS OF
NAYAKANAHATTI,
CHALLAKERE TALUK,
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT - 577 536.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. RAMANA M.V.V., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE SECRETARY
MINISTRY OF HINDU RELIGIOUS
INSTITUTIONS AND ENDOWMENTS,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BENGALURU - 560 009.
2. THE MEMBER SECRETARY
RAJYA DHARMIKA PARISHAT,
DEPARTMENT OF HINDU RELIGIOUS INSTITUTIONS
AND CHARITABLE ENDOWMENTS,
2ND AND 3RD FLOOR,
MAHADESWARA VARTHA BHAVAN,





VENKATA RAO ROAD,
CHAMARAJPET,
BENGALURU - 560 018.

3. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT,
CHITRADURGA - 577 501.
4. THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
SRI. GURUTHIPPERUDRA SWAMY TEMPLE,
NAYAKANAHATTI,
CHALLAKERE TALUK,
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT - 577 536.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. B.P. RADHA, AGA FOR R1 AND R3;
SRI. HARISHCHANDRA MOVVAR, ADVOCATE FOR R2;
RESPONDENT NO.4 - SERVED)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE
ORDER DATED 24.02.2023 ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.2
VIDE ANNEXURE-F; AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.G.S. KAMAL

ORAL ORDER

Learned counsel appearing for petitioners appeared
through video conferencing and learned counsel appearing for
respondents present.



2. The challenge in this petition is to the order dated 24.02.2023 (Annexure-F) passed by the respondent No.2, wherein the Committee of Management for the respondent No.4-Temple has been appointed in terms of Section 25 of the Karnataka Hindu Religious Institutions and Charitable Endowments (Amendment) Act, 2011 for a period of three years subject to conditions enumerated therein.

3. Learned counsel for petitioners and learned counsel for respondents submits in unison that the term of the Committee came to an end and as such, the petition does not survive for consideration.

4. Submission is taken on record.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is ***dismissed as having become infructuous.***

6. The cost made easy.

**SD/-
(M.G.S. KAMAL)
JUDGE**

ARK
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 5