

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU
[SRI NARASIMHAIAH SINCE DECEASED REPRESENTED BY
HIS LRS JAYAMMA AND OTHERS VS. THE STATE OF
KARNATAKA AND OTHERS]

24.02.2026

(VIDEO CONFERENCING / PHYSICAL HEARING)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

The petitioners are aggrieved by the Communication dated 17.07.2025 *[Annexure-L]* which is the second respondent *[the Regional Commissioner, Bengaluru Urban District, Bengaluru]*, and the second respondent has called upon the Sub Registrars not to register the Sale Deeds if presented. Sri Vivek Subbareddy, the learned Senior counsel for the petitioners, submits as follows.

[a] The subject land was declared a '*phada*' land, but the respondents restored the entries for this land in favour of the petitioner's father.

[b] The respondents cannot dispute that they have initiated the proceedings for annulling this order, but the petitioners have called such order in question in the writ petition in

W.P. No.2309/2025 which is pending consideration.

[b] The petitioners have the advantage of an interim order in this petition staying all further proceedings in the appeal filed by the authorities with the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal, Bengaluru.

[c] The communication dated 17.07.2025 *[Annexure-L]* by the second respondent, the Regional Commissioner, Bengaluru Urban District, Bengaluru is an administrative overreach without due regard to the pending judicial proceedings.

When queried, the learned Senior Counsel submits that the petitioners do indeed propose to alienate the subject property but informing the purchaser/s about the pending proceedings who will step into the petitioners' shoes. The petitioners are reserved with liberty to place on record only the details of the purchaser/s.

Smt Saritha Kulkarni, a learned Additional Government Advocate, is called upon to accept notice for the respondents.

The office is directed to re-list this petition on
03.03.2026.

(B M SHYAM PRASAD)
JUDGE